	- 100	1	
	Page 186		Page 188
	IN THE FIFTEENTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT COURT	1	
	IN AND FOR PALM BEACH COUNTY, FLORIDA	2	
	CASE NO.: 502008CA037319 XXXX MB AB	3	
		4	
		5	
	B.B.,	6	
	Plaintiff,	7	
	VS.	8	
	JEFFREY EPSTEIN,	9	
	Defendant.	10	
		11	
		12	
		13	
		14	
	VOLUME II	15	
	VIDEO-TAPED DEPOSITION OF MICHAEL REITER	16	
	A WITNESS		
	TAKEN BY THE PLAINTIFF	17	
		18	
		19	
		20	
		21	
	DATE: November 23, 2009	22	
	TIME: 10:12 a.m 7:38 p.m.	23	
		24	
		25	
	Page 187		Page 189
1	I-N-D-E-X	1	The deposition of MICHAEL REITER, a witness in the
2	November 23, 2009	2	above-entitled and numbered cause was taken before me,
3 4	MICHAEL REITER DIRECT CROSS REDIRECT RECROSS	3	Vanessa G. Archer, Court Reporter, Notary Public for the
5	DIRECT CROSS REDIRECT RECROSS	5	State of Florida at Large, at 2925 PGA Boulevard, Palm Beach Gardens, Florida, on the 23rd day of November, 2009,
_	By Mr. Kuvin 8 352	6	pursuant to Notice in said cause for the taking of said
6	By Mr. Garcia 155 364	7	deposition on behalf of the Plaintiff.
7	•	8	A DDE A DINICIONI DELLA LE CIE DI A INTELEE D. D.
8	By Mr. Critton 190	9 10	APPEARING ON BEHALF OF PLAINTIFF B.B.: SPENCER T. KUVIN, ESQ.
9		1	LEOPOLD-KUVIN, P.A.
10	EXHIBITS	11	2925 PGA Boulevard, Suite 200
11 12	Marked	12	Palm Beach Gardens, Florida 33410
	Plaintiff's Exhibit No. 1 16	13	APPEARING ON BEHALF OF PLAINTIFFS' JANE DOES 2-8:
13	(Palm Beach PD Intelligence Report 11/28/04) Plaintiff's Exhibit No. 2 31	14	ADAM HOROWITZ, ESQ.
14	(Incident Reports)	,_	MERMELSTEIN & HOROWITZ, P.A.
	Plaintiff's Exhibit No. 3 99	15	18205 Biscayne Boulevard, Suite 2218 Miami, Florida 33160
15	(Letter to Barry Krischer) Plaintiff's Exhibit No. 4 131	16	Miani, 1 fonda 55100
16	(Photographs of El Brillo Way)	17	APPEARING ON BEHALF OF PLAINTIFF: C.A.
17	Plaintiff's Exhibit No. 5 132	18	JACK HILL, ESQ.
17	(Photo of 358 El Brillo Way) Defendant's Exhibit No. 6 218	19	SEARCY, DENNEY, SCAROLA, BARNHART & SHIPLEY, P.A. 2139 Palm Beach Lakes Boulevard
18	(Subpeona Duces Tecum)		West Palm Beach, Florida 33409
19	Plaintiff's Exhibit No. 7 356 (Money Transfers)	20	
1 2 2	Plaintiff's Exhibit No. 8 357	21 22	APPEARING ON BEHALF OF PLAINTIFF:
20	(Flight Summary)	22	ISIDRO GARCIA, Esq. GARCIA LAW FIRM, P.A.
21 22	Certified Question: Page 160, Line 10	23	The Harvey Building
23			224 Datura Street, Suite 900
24 25	Letter to John Randolph, Esq. Errata Sheets (to be forwarded upon completion)	24 25	West Palm Beach, Florida 33401
45	Errata sheets (to be forwarded upon completion)	₁ 45	

Page 190 Page 192 1 APPEARING ON BEHALF OF DEFENDANT: 1 you perceived is, your testimony today during the 2 ROBERT D. CRITTON, ESQ. 2 time that regarding events that occurred during the BURMAN, CRITTON, LUTTIER & COLEMAN, LLP. 3 time you're speaking as, as if you were the Chief or 3 515 North Flagler Drive, Suite 400 4 West Palm Beach, Florida 33401 as the Chief during that time period, correct? 4 5 MR. KUVIN: Form. 5 JACK GOLDBERGER, ESO. 6 THE WITNESS: I hadn't thought about it. ATTENBURY, GOLDBERGER, RICHARDSON & WEISS, P.A 250 South Australian Avenue, Suite 1400 7 6 All the things we've talked about here happened West Palm Beach, Florida 33401 8 while I was the Chief of the Police and I can't 7 9 remove myself from that. What I referred to 8 APPEARING ON BEHALF OF WITNESS: 10 previously is that -- and when I talk about 9 JOANNE O'CONNOR, ESQ. JOHN RANDOLPH, ESO. 11 something today, some of the questions were 10 JONES, FOSTER, JOHNSTON & STUBBS, P.A. 12 asked what does the Palm Beach Police 505 South Flagler Drive, Suite 1100 11 West Palm Beach, Florida 33401 13 Department do. Well what it does today I'm not 12 14 a part of, and that's what I really refer to. ALSO PRESENT: JEFFREY EPSTEIN 13 15 BY MR. CRITTON: 14 16 VIDEOGRAPHERS: MICHAEL D. DOWNEY Q If I understood your testimony, with **EDDIE GUERRERO** 17 regard to the exhibits that you were shown, 16 VISUAL EVIDENCE 18 specifically Exhibit 1, which was an intelligence 601 North Dixie Highway, Suite A 19 report from November of '08 with regard to the 17 West Palm Beach, Florida 33401 18 20 incident report which is dated February 17th, 2006 19 21 dealing with the Epstein investigation, is neither 20 22 of those reports were written information is 21 23 22 something that you prepared, correct? 23 24 A That's correct. 25 Q And with regard to the Exhibit Number 1, 25 Page 191 Page 193 1 1 **CROSS-EXAMINATION** you have no personal knowledge with regard to any of 2 BY MR. CRITTON: 2 the events that are set forth within that 3 3 Q Mr. Reiter, I'm Bob Critton, as I intelligence report; is that correct? 4 introduced about six hours ago now when Mr. Kuvin 4 A That's correct. 5 5 started. I represent Mr. Epstein, I have a number Q And in describing for Mr. Kuvin the 6 6 of questions for you. contents of the form, other than being familiar with 7 7 If I understand it correctly, you're the form itself, all of that information you were 8 8 no longer the Chief, you resigned or you retired in either seeing for the first time or it's information 9 approximately late January of 2009? 9 that you may have seen almost five years ago but you 10 10 A February 28th of 2009 I retired. have no recollection as you sit here today? 11 O All right. And so if I refer to you as 11 A That's correct. 12 Mr. Reiter, that would be appropriate at this point 12 Q And with regard to that specifically, 13 13 Exhibit Number 1, which is the report that was in time? 14 14 A If you choose to. Some people tell me completed by Officer Munyan, if I understood your 15 that once the Chief always the Chief. I've heard 15 testimony, in going -- at least in looking at that 16 that but I do not need that title. 16 form where it speaks in terms of information 17 17 Q In terms of the current time, and I think acquired by, at least that section, it has personal 18 18 knowledge and then it's got hearsay of rumor, those your testimony was, you're not here speaking for the 19 19 department: is that correct? are the two categories that are checked, correct? 20 2.0 A Well I can't -- when I said that A Yes. 21 previously it was a policy issue, I don't make the 21 Q And personal knowledge would be something 22 22 policy of the police department, but I speak for the that may have been told to Officer Munyan in his 23 actions of the department during the time period 23 presence or something that he observed? 24 that I was Chief. 24 A That would be something that they'd check 25 Q So therefore, at least in terms of what 25 that box for, yes.

Page 194 Page 196 1 Q And the hearsay rumor would have been any actual document itself doesn't contain any action; 2 other information that someone might have said that is, there's no check mark or an X or something 3 something to him that he is then inputting in his like that, would that at least from a policy and 4 procedure standpoint that existed with the Palm report because he has no first-hand knowledge, correct? 5 Beach Police back in the period of November of '04, б is that if the police officer took the information A Right. MR. KUVIN: Form. 7 and it was evaluated by a supervisor, if in fact 8 there was recommended follow up or was follow up, BY MR. CRITTON: 9 something would have been checked on the form? Q And then if I go down to information content they have this, as was pointed out, they 10 MR. KUVIN: Form and speculation. have different boxes that are checked verified, 11 THE WITNESS: Not always. This is like unverified, explain, partially verified, similar 12 a -- this is a data input type form. And at information filed. See that? 13 least during the time that I was Chief that A Yes. 14 when the special investigation's unit got this, And in this particular instance with 15 they had their own record keeping that was 16 separate from this. They cared less about regard to this incident involved that's referenced as the subject being Mr. Epstein, is it's an -- that 17 writing on here and deciding what was happening is, the information content is the only box checked 18 than they did their own, and if they even put 19 in their computer. If not, then, you know, is unverified? MR. KUVIN: Objection, form. Are you 20 this might be the extent of the information having him read the form proper or his personal 21 about it. information? 22 Not in every case are the forms like this MR. CRITTON: He already said he has no 23 that I saw in my years in the police department 24 personal information so how could he testify as was there something checked on every box, a box to personal information. Stick with the 25 checked in the last section. Page 195 Page 197 questions and the answers, then we'll all be on 1 BY MR. CRITTON: the same page. 2 O But at least on this particular form? BY MR. CRITTON: 3 A Nothing is checked or X'd or anything as Q With regard to information content, the 4 far as I can see beyond the exhibit sticker. 5 only section that's unchecked is unverified? MR. KUVIN: Form to the last question. A There's an X in the unverified box and 6 BY MR. CRITTON: 7 there's no X in any of the other boxes. Q But at least on every other section; that Q And at least in looking at the form and 8 is, every other section on this particular form recognizing that you have no recollection of ever 9 Exhibit Number 1, something is checked or there is having seen this form before or what may or may not 10 information in there except for the recommended 11 have been done at that time period, it says follow up by a supervisor, true? 12

1 2 3

- evaluated by a supervisor, and at least there's some initials there, correct?
 - A Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Q And I think you told us earlier you can't identify whose initials those are, true?
 - A That's correct.
- Q Now it says recommended follow up by supervisor and there's nothing that's there; that is, that's completely blank?
- A That's correct. I can't see the one corner because the exhibit sticker's over it.
- O Assuming hopefully at an earlier no one put a sticker over something that was actually important, but if I asked you to assume that the

MR. KUVIN: Form, speculation. THE WITNESS: Just from looking at it, yes, every other box something is -- there's an entry made somewhere.

BY MR. CRITTON:

- Q All right. Now if I take you to Exhibit Number -- I think it's Exhibit 2 was the incident report involving Mr. Epstein; is that correct?
- A I don't have it. I do now.
- Q In terms of this report itself, I think you've already told us, you did participate in the completion of this report, true?
 - A That's correct.
 - And in terms of reviewing the report

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

Page 198 Page 200 1 1 before it was in a completed form, you didn't do of 3/14/2005? that either, did you? 2 2 MR. KUVIN: Form. 3 A I read the report -- this is a report that 3 THE WITNESS: No. 4 4 was written over a long period of time. I read BY MR. CRITTON: 5 parts of it incrementally as they went along. 5 Q And when you read this report or portions 6 6 Q And so you're at least as to whether -- as of the report as it was being prepared, did you ask 7 you sit here today, have you ever read the entire 7 either Ms. Pagan, Officer Pagan, Detective Pagan or 8 8 report? Mr. Recarey or Detective Recarey when it was 9 9 A Yes. completed, you know, what's with the 1/27/05 date, 10 why is that date here? Do you recall that 10 Q And did you do that after it was completed 11 by Detective Recarey? 11 conversation or whether such a conversation 12 12 A Yes. I know that I read the entire report occurred? around the time that we released it under the public 13 13 A No. And I don't know if that was the date 14 records law demands. 14 that was on the report that I originally read. 15 Q And in terms of at least of this report, 15 Q And now having seen at least the occur 16 it has an occur date, occur from date 1/27/05 and 16 date of 1/27/05 and having reviewed this report, you 17 has a -- see that? 17 have no knowledge as to why that was used instead of 18 18 3/14/2005, would that be correct? A Yes. 19 19 MR. KUVIN: Form. Q And what does occur from date mean? 20 A Typically that would be the first date 20 THE WITNESS: Yes, that's correct. 21 that covered the time period of some sort of actions 21 BY MR. CRITTON: 22 that took place that the report documents. 2.2 Q With regard to the actual incident report that was completed by other detectives, and again I 23 Q And then if I go over to page 11, it looks 23 24 24 like the initial, at least information, the initial understand is you looked at various portions of it 25 report that was made was on March 14, 2005. Do you 25 as it was being -- I need to step back. Page 199 Page 201 1 1 see that? It says on March 14, 2005, I, meaning If you go back to page 11, and this 2 Michelle Pagan, I received a call from a woman who 2 is the first report by Ms. Pagan, or Detective 3 did not wish to identify herself later identified as 3 Pagan, it says on 3/14/05. And then in the upper right middle of the page it has 9/20/05. Does that 4 such and such. See that? 4 5 5 A Yes. indicate that's the date the report started to be 6 Q And I've looked at this report as have the 6 prepared, or at least this page was prepared by 7 7 other attorneys. That's the first notation I can them, by Detective Pagan or Recarey? 8 8 see of anyone calling Palm Beach Police and at least A No. That would be the computer entry 9 initiating some set of facts that in some way 9 date. That's the computer entry date. 10 10 related to Mr. Epstein relating to this particular Q Which means that's when they entered it 11 11 investigation. into the computer? 12 So my question to you is, is have you 12 A Probably. 13 seen anything or do you recall anything from the 13 Q So as to -- was there any written report 14 14 report that would have taken it back to that is as that was provided to you prior to September 20th of 15 to why an occur date of 1/27/05 exists as distinct 15 2005; that is, the entry date of this first call 16 from 3/14/2005, which appears to be the first phone 16 that's referenced on 3/14/2005? Does that make 17 17 call that in some way relates to Mr. Epstein? sense to you what I just asked? 18 MR. KUVIN: Form. 18 A Yes. 19 19 THE WITNESS: No. O Good. 20 BY MR. CRITTON: 20 A I'm sure that I read some sort of report 21 Q And from having read this before, can you 21 or rough notes or something in that time period.

And I think part of what you're getting at is some

of these in an investigation like this, it would not

because computer systems can be hacked and so on,

be unusual not to put it in our computer system

22

23

24

22

23

24

25

explain why there's almost a two-month or six-week

someone who had a complaint directed to Mr. Epstein

time period between the occur date of 1/27 and the

report date; that is, the initial report from

Page 202

there's not case specific passwords for access. If you have access to read police reports you can read all police reports, or at least that's the way it used to be, and it would not be unusual not to enter the actual reports in the computer until long after they're actually written.

Q Was there actually a written report, or do you recall seeing a written report, by either Michelle Pagan or Recarey, in particular let's stick with Detective Pagan, that would have predated September 20th, 2005; that is, a written report? And I don't mean a handwritten report but some form of a typewritten report or a computer generated report that you would have seen?

MR. KUVIN: Form.

THE WITNESS: Do I specifically recall seeing what you've described, no.

BY MR. CRITTON:

2.0

Q And if I understood your testimony earlier is, is with regard to the information that's contained in this report, unless it's specific to you or there's a quote in here or something, and I don't recall whether there are or not, quotes directed to you, the Chief, but with regard to this

report, you did not participate in making either any

A Absolutely.

Q And there may have been lots of other investigations that were ongoing at the time, all for which you were ultimately responsible, true?

A Yes.

б

2.4

Q And in addition to doing any other investigations, I think you said you were responsible for the safety and welfare of the individuals, plus you have to report back to Mr. -- is it Ewell?

A Elwell.

Q Elwell. And deal with all the other people who may come in your office during the course of the day, or a week or month, true?

A Yes.

Q And therefore as to -- excuse me.

With regard to the incident report as it was being prepared, and specifically with regard to the interviews, there were taped interviews taken of a number of the alleged victims, both under eighteen, eighteen and above eighteen, true, from what you've read in the report?

A Yes.

Q And in terms of reviewing the report or ultimately reviewing the incident report, did you

Page 203

Page 205

Page 204

of the entries, the information, or making any changes to Exhibit 2; is that correct?

A I don't think so.

Q And if I also understood your testimony is, you were never present when an interview occurred between any of the alleged victims, whether they were under eighteen, eighteen or over eighteen; is that correct?

A That's correct.

Q And you weren't present during any of the interviews with any other person who shows up as having been interviewed within this incident report; is that correct?

A Well I don't know every single person that was interviewed in here, but I was never a part of any interview, or was I present for any interview of any person as it relates to this case.

Q And you weren't party to the search warrant that was conducted at Mr. Epstein's home, correct?

A That's correct.

Q And I don't mean this -- well, in essence you were then the Chief of Police and so you had other duties and responsibilities separate and apart from the Epstein investigation?

ever go back and check with regard to, or do any type of fact checks, so to speak, to determine whether or not the information in the report was accurate or not?

A Personally?

Q Yes, sir, personally, did you ever do that?

A No. I have a very high level of trust with the employees of the department and they would do -- I know they would do that to the extent necessary, for important facts at least, before they would write the report. No, that's not my role as Police Chief.

Q And I'm not saying it is, but my question I guess is more directed to if in fact the report itself, Detective Recarey's report, contains a number of omissions of information of information that was given in statements by some of the alleged victims that did not get included in the report that would be considered significant, would that concern you as the Chief of Police?

MR. KUVIN: Object to form.

THE WITNESS: Well you can't include the substance of all of the statements in the incident report or otherwise, instead of a

Page 206 Page 208

half-inch pile it would be a many boxes thick pile. If it was important information that either, you know, the weight to the voracity of the witness or anything that was serious or important, it should generally be included in here. And that's why we have supervisors review things, and this was reviewed by supervisors to make sure that most of the information that's important are included in

BY MR. CRITTON:

there.

Q Okay. But if in fact there was an important fact associated with the statement given by an alleged victim that was in some way exculpatory as to in this instance Mr. Epstein, that type of information should be included in the report, true?

MR. KUVIN: Form, vague.

THE WITNESS: If it was an important exculpatory fact, yes.

BY MR. CRITTON:

Q Such as, and let me just give an example -- by the way, we've been here almost -- it's almost 4 o'clock now, we started at 10:00 and took an hour for lunch so we've been here almost six

A I have read it at some point, yes.

Q Did you ever review it to determine whether or not it was accurate?

MR. KUVIN: Form, vague.

THE WITNESS: I didn't review as Chief generally probable cause affidavits or police reports for accuracy because I would have no first-hand knowledge to compare things to. I had to trust that that information was in there either by the author or the supervisors that had far more information about the investigation than I did.

BY MR. CRITTON:

Q Because based upon your experience in dealing with them you expected -- well, let me strike that.

Based upon the way you ran the department in terms of your practice and procedure, you would expect that your detectives and their supervisors in preparing a report would be as accurate as possible when and if in fact they -- oh, first of all it would be as accurate and complete as possible, true?

MR. KUVIN: Form, vague. THE WITNESS: Yes.

Page 209

Page 207

hours, five hours at least in testimony. Do you recall anyone asking you about -- in Mr. Kuvin's case he represents a client named B.B. Did he ever ask you whether that name meant anything to you?

MR. KUVIN: Form, I think.

BY MR. CRITTON:

Q Do you recall?

A No.

Q And if I ask you to assume that she's not one of the victims that's listed on the -- or at least in the police report as being a quote, unquote, alleged victim, have you ever heard of that name?

A I can't say that I have. It was never important to me to connect the names of the victims to the accounts of what happened. If it was one of the names that appeared on the letter that I received from the U.S. Attorney, I would have read it, but I don't specifically remember that name.

Q Let me get back then to the statement or the incident report that was prepared by Detective Recarey. As an example -- well, let me strike that.

You're also familiar with the probable cause affidavit that Officer or Detective Recarey prepared?

BY MR. CRITTON:

Q And if in fact you were to learn that your detective and/or supervisor who was reviewing the detectives, either the report or probable cause affidavit had made what you would consider to be a material or a significant omission, would you expect either the detective or the supervisor to direct that either the probable cause affidavit or the incident report be corrected to reflect the true information?

MR. KUVIN: Form, vague.

THE WITNESS: First you said an omission and then you ended that question with something that would be truthful. I don't understand, that's two different things.

BY MR. CRITTON:

Q Let me use the two different examples.

Let's assume that a victim said, an alleged victim said, I told Mr. Epstein I was eighteen years old and that I was a senior in high school, etcetera, would you consider that to be an important statement made by the victim to be included in the probable cause affidavit; that is, the information that they conveyed to Mr. Epstein?

MR. KUVIN: Form, vague.

6 (Pages 206 to 209)

Page 210 Page 212

1 THE WITNESS: No. 2 BY MR. CRITTON:

Q Why not?

A It's my understanding of the law that it doesn't matter whether or not the suspect believe the person to be older, to be an adult, that's my understanding.

Q Depends on the alleged crime though as well. In some instances someone -- are you familiar that a sexual battery can occur on an individual or an alleged sexual battery can occur, but if in fact the individual consented and was over the age of twelve as a matter of fact, no force, no physical, under those circumstances, and no intercourse, no anal sex, no oral sex, no penetration, then in fact that can be -- it will not be considered a battery as long as it was consensual?

MR. GARCIA: Form, objection, misstates the law.

MR. KUVIN: And calls for a legal conclusion from a non-legal witness.

THE WITNESS: You know, I've got the room full of lawyers and you're asking me to interpret a law that's not even in front of me.

either the probable cause affidavit or within the police report where there was some allegations that some sort of purple sex toy had been used with one of the victims? Does that ring a bell with you at all?

A Yes.

Q Did you ever come to learn any -- you recall that was either an investigation and/or as well and within the probable cause affidavit, did you ever come to learn that in fact that the purple object was in fact subsequently identified as something else?

A At some point I recall hearing that, yes.

Q And if in fact in the probable cause affidavit and/or the investigative report, Exhibit 2, it had been -- the purple object had been identified as this sex toy and in fact became later identified as something that was not a sex toy, did you then direct either Detective Recarey to, or anyone else, to make that alteration in his report?

A Well I don't know when I heard that. I heard that that was an assertion. I don't know if we as a department ever decided if we agreed with that, I really don't know. I never saw this purple alleged sex toy, but I would envision that the

Page 211

Page 213

BY MR. CRITTON:

Q I understand. But you've mentioned the law, that's why I was --

A Well it is my general understanding that it's not a defense, and I'm not going to specifically say what crime, but these general types of crimes if the suspect believes the person to be older and then they are not.

Q And that's what your belief was of the law?

A In general, yes.

Q If in fact it did; that is, if in fact an individual could consent to contact under some circumstances and age was significant, then that would be a material fact that should be included in the report, fair?

MR. GARCIA: Form.

MR. KUVIN: Form, misstates the law.

THE WITNESS: I don't know if that's true or not. But if it was, that's the kind of information that I would hope would appear in a report, yes.

BY MR. CRITTON:

Q And with regard to -- at least there was a statement -- do you remember seeing a statement in

distinction between whether an object that was intended to be a sex toy or not is really important. I don't know if we ever drew the conclusion that we were inaccurate in the characterization of this purple object. That was not a huge issue to me in this case.

Q What did you learn, or what did you learn in some fashion that the purple object was actually?

A I don't remember. All I remember was that there was an assertion that something we identified as a sex toy in the report that later on there was an explanation that it was something else.

Q Remember -- I'm sorry.

A I don't recall what, it really wasn't that important to me, and I don't recall when I learned that.

Q Do you ever recall that the quote, unquote, purple sex toy, was identified to be a broken piece of a salad fork?

A No.

Q If in fact it had been called a sex toy by Officer Recarey and you were able to confirm that it was in fact a piece of a broken salad fork, don't you think the report should have been clarified so that the information in the report did not

Page 214 gone back

б

2.0

misstate -- and there's a pretty big difference between a salad fork and a alleged sex toy, would you agree with me?

MR. KUVIN: Form.

THE WITNESS: If all of that had happened prior to the closing of the case, is it something that I would have preferred was supplemented and updated in the report, yes. If all those things you said were true, do I think it's a gigantic omission, no.

BY MR. CRITTON:

Q And again, I've just given you a couple of examples. But if the report was replete with a number of either omissions or misstatements, that would have concerned you as the Chief, be a fair statement?

MR. KUVIN: Form, vague. Misstatement too.

THE WITNESS: This was a long investigation over time and you can see, many pages long. I've done investigations as a detective that was complicated like this and it took many pages to document. A certain level of that is going to happen for the world's best detective.

gone back and you had looked at Detective Recarey's report and found substantial omissions and misstatements of the facts, would that have caused you to question the objectivity of Detective Recarey in the preparation of the report?

MR. KUVIN: Form, vague as to substantial. THE WITNESS: I'm a trusting person, even as a police officer, and I would have first wondered whether or not the omission occurred as a result of a mistake rather than a lack of objectivity of putting it in there. That's -- that would be my first consideration, would be hey, you missed something here, rather than are you objective.

BY MR. CRITTON:

Q And you'd have to look at the number of either omissions or misstatements to determine, at least in your own mind, whether there was some sort of lack of objectivity; fair statement?

A If I had -- yeah. If I became concerned with his objectivity or any person's objectivity in a case, then I would have to exam that, yes.

Q Let me ask you this. There was a lot of questions, like a lot a lot of questions about donations by Mr. Epstein. If I understood your --

Page 215

Page 217

Page 216

You use the term replete. If it was replete with all of that, I would have concern, yes.

BY MR. CRITTON:

- Q And part of what you were saying is you were critical of Mr. Krischer in the way that he ran his department, with at least with regard to the Epstein case, true?
 - A No, that is not what I said.
- Q So in fact Mr. Krischer's handling of the Epstein case from your perspective is it was handled appropriately?
 - A I didn't say that either.
- Q If you weren't critical and you didn't think it was handled appropriately, where would you define that middle ground?
- A I told him that I thought he did not have appropriate objectivity to make decisions about this case, and that he should follow the statute and ask the governor to remove his State Attorney's Office from the prosecution of the case and appoint a different one.
- Q If in fact you had both the time and it was part of your job, and I recognize you had lots of other things to do during that time, if you had

And you remember those questions earlier today, correct?

A Generally, yeah.

Q If I understood you correctly is that every year the police department, maybe other departments, but at Palm Beach they have a kind of wish list of things, if we had X amount of dollars we might be able to buy these additional things for the police department. And I've seen it from the shiny sheet, fire department has a similar program so that people can donate if they feel both generous and think it would be important for the town. Is that a fair statement?

A We had that for years. In fact it was even a published document for years. But I don't think there was a formal -- I don't think there is currently a formal wish list, gift list. At some point it was discontinued.

Q And I don't care about today, I'm more interested in the past during the time that Mr. Epstein made some donations, I think before you were Chief, and then sometime after you were Chief. Can I assume that Mr. Epstein wasn't the only individual that made donations to the Police Department?

A You can and you would be correct in that

Page 218 Page 220 1 1 subpoena, I think separate and apart from personal assumption. 2 2 Q And can I -- would it be also correct that phone records, I think that you indicated -- they 3 3 a number of individuals separate and apart from indicated to me that you did not have any documents. 4 4 Mr. Epstein made substantial donations with regard So my question to you is, and 5 to help and buy certain police equipment, or to 5 assuming they don't correct me, is that accurate, 6 б obtain certain training that that particular person that would be responsive to the various categories 7 or couple felt to be beneficial for the police 7 or documents that were requested? 8 department and members of its staff? 8 A Well obviously, you know, I could have my 9 9 A Yes. telephone bill from last month sitting at home, I 10 10 0 And I assume there have been donations really don't know that for sure. But other than 11 11 those areas, these are other things that were the substantially larger than Mr. Epstein's and 12 donations substantially smaller than Mr. Epstein's. 12 records of the Palm Beach Police Department, that's 13 Would that be a fair statement? 13 correct, I don't have these records. 14 A Yes. 14 Q And in terms of separate and apart from 15 15 whether -- excuse me -- you have to produce phone Q And therefore someone donating either 16 equipment or cash, or whatever the circumstances, 16 records or not, which is another issue that we'll 17 would not be unusual based on your experience in the 17 either agree amongst the lawyers or we'll take it up 18 Town of Palm Beach during the twenty-eight years you 18 with the judge, do you keep phone bills; that is, do 19 were a police officer there, true? 19 you keep your own old phone bills? 20 A Yes. 20 A No. I get them electronically and delete 21 O I served you with a -- I take that back. 21 them. Wait a second, I don't want to -- why am I I served Mr. Randolph on your behalf 22 22 answering these questions. These are -- I mean --23 with a subpoena duces tecum. Are we on Number 6? 23 you know, I have -- this is privileged information 24 MR. KUVIN: We are. 24 that you're asking me for about my personal life. 25 MR. CRITTON: Let me just mark it as 25 And I've been out of government since the end of Page 219 Page 221 1 1 Exhibit Number 6. I'm not covering anything up February. 2 that's hidden. Spencer, if you could pass --2 Q Let me make it clear. I'm not interested 3 or Joanne, if you can pass that down. Thank 3 about your personal phone -- well, let me take it back because I know there's some other questions I 4 4 5 5 BY MR. CRITTON: may need to ask, but we'll get to that in just a 6 Q When you received the subpoena I assume --6 minute. 7 7 During the time that you were Chief, let me strike that. 8 You've given depositions before? 8 did you use your personal phone for business or did 9 A That's correct. 9 you use -- did you have a cell phone that was, for 10 10 Q All right. And have you been asked to example, a cell phone that was provided to you by 11 appear for depositions where you've been asked to 11 the Town of Palm Beach? 12 bring documents? 12 A I had a personal cell phone that I used 13 A Yes. 13 for personal reasons that I paid for myself that 14 14 Q So when you saw this subpoena you saw the also I used for business. 15 list of documents that you would bring, or you're 15 Q And I won't ask you what the phone number 16 familiar with at least the procedure; that is, you 16 is because we'll -- number one, you commented that

you wouldn't give it to me today. But secondly,

But let me ask this question. Did

you make calls on that cell phone from time to time

calls during the time the Epstein investigation was

ongoing, regarding Mr. Epstein or any aspect of the

during the time of the investigation, or received

it's an issue that we'll take up with the judge to

determine whether or not that's any type of

information that you're required to produce.

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

were to look and determine whether or not you had

conversation with both Mr. Randolph and Ms. O'Connor

Q And I'll represent to you that I had a

earlier this week, or maybe it was last week, and

Skip can correct me, or Joanne, if I'm wrong, but as

they related to me that you didn't have any, and

to the documents that were requested in the

any documents, correct?

A Yes.

Page 222 | Page 224

investigation, or discussions you may have had with the FBI, the U.S. Attorney's Office, State Attorney's Office?

- A Did I use my personal cell phone for conversations that relate to this case, is that what you're asking me?
- Q Correct. That is, either you could make the call or someone could call you because they knew what your number was?
- A I don't remember any specific phone calls. I try not to use a cellular phone for investigations because they can be easily listened in to. I don't remember specifically doing it but it's possible.
- Q In terms of -- and if I understood you, you had a cell phone for personal use and you used that both for business, could have been used for business as well as personal during the time you were Chief, that's the only cell phone you had?
- A I only have one cell phone, if that's what you're asking me.
 - O Correct.

During the course of the Epstein investigation, did you make any personal notes regarding any conversations or communications or conferences that you had with anyone?

all of those sorts of things and they all still remain somewhere probably, unless they were destroyed.

BY MR. CRITTON:

- Q So to the extent that you made any notes and you wanted to keep them, at least the time your assistant would have filed them away, then more likely than not they would still be with the town or with the police department assuming no one tossed them?
- A I didn't keep a personal file on this case if that's what you're asking me.
- Q All right. Maybe that's even the better question is, is did you keep any records whatsoever with regard to this case that were any way personal to you?
- A Somewhere I probably have copies of some of the news media coverage that specifically mentioned me, couple of things I can think of unfavorably, that I made copies of and put in some file somewhere, but not notes that I -- I didn't keep a personal file on the case.
- Q With regard to correspondence that you had, I know you identified the one letter that you had written to Mr. Krischer, which I think we have

Page 223

Page 225

- A Not that I can recall. I'm sure though at some point I was talking to -- there were some reference in here to discussing a plea, the details of the plea. And what I typically do is, you know, that kind of phone call occurs and I have to assume that that happened over the telephone, the one you asked me about or someone else did earlier, and I generally write those things down sort of in a list, and then I look at it and generally throw it away.
- Q When you left, when you retired as the Chief, did you have any personal files that related to -- when I say personal files, personal files for you as the Chief in terms of notes, records, etcetera, that you had in files that may have been specific to cases or maybe a file that just said, you know, cases 2005, cases 2006, where you would jot down, either you're doodling, you're making notes? Maybe it was about talking with the U.S. Attorney's Office or talking about the plea or something like, and you stuffed it into a file and those files remained back with the Town of Palm Beach when you retired?

Beach when you retired?
 MR. KUVIN: Form.
 THE WITNESS: No. It was a more formal system than that. My assistant kept files of

- identified as the May 1st, 2006 letter, Exhibit 3, was that the only correspondence that you had with the -- you as the chief had, with either Mr. Krischer or any representative for the State Attorney's Office?
- A Written correspondence?
 - Q Yes, sir.
- A Yes.
- 9 Q Did you have any written correspondence 10 with the FBI?
 - A No.
 - Q Did you have any written correspondence with any -- you initiating the correspondence and sending it to the United States Attorney's Office, either the assistant Ms. Villafana, Mr. Acosta, or any other representative of the United States Government?
 - A No.
 - Q Did you have any other --
 - A I guess I have to clarify that. I gave an award, which was a printed award, at one of our crime watch ceremonies without being specific to this particular case for just general cooperation to the Assistant U.S. Attorney Villafana and FBI agent Nesbitt Kirkendall and a male agent, whose name

Page 226 Page 228

escapes me at this moment, that assisted her in the case, and that's I suppose correspondence at some level. It was a plaque just for their assistance, their cooperation. Non-specific.

- Q Was there an award's ceremony at which time you presented the plaque, or was it something that you just sent to them?
- A I presented the plaque at the Annual Palm Beach Crime Watch breakfast. I think probably the last one that happened when I was Chief and the male FBI agent couldn't make it but the other two were there. They were there part of a bunch of awards that I gave out, called them forward, read what it said, you know, cooperation, assistance in support of so and so, and hand that out. So that correspondence.
 - Q Separate and apart from that, did you have any correspondence that you directed to the ASA or FBI other than the award?
 - A No, not that I can remember.
 - Q Was there any governmental agency or law enforcement agency that you ever directed any correspondence to from your office, from you to them, regarding the Epstein investigation?
 - A Personally, no. But we've already talked

that I received.

- Q With regard to the United States Attorney's Office, did you ever receive any documents from them other than the letter and -- or what you described as the list from Ms. Villafana?
 - A No.
- Q Mr. Acosta, he never wrote you any letters directly, correct?
 - A I don't believe so.
- Q I think you -- but you did indicate you spoke with him at one point?
 - A That is correct.
- Q Jeffrey Sloman, do you know Mr. Sloman?
 - A I recognize the name. Yes, I think he was I think the first Assistant U.S. Attorney, or something like that.
 - Q I assume you received no correspondence from him, true?
 - A No.
- Q Did you ever speak with him?
 - A I believe so. He's the U.S. Attorney, currently acting U.S. attorney?
- 23 Q Correct.
 - A I believe so, I did, when I spoke with the U.S. Attorney.

Page 227

Page 229

- about it, we did transmit -- 2 O I understand that, I's
 - Q I understand that, I'm just talking about you.
 - A -- a variety of information -- okay -- for the department to -- no, I never wrote any letters to any governmental agency other than what I've told you about, nor did I receive any written correspondence from governmental agencies other than what I've told you about.
 - Q Let me go back to now as to receiving information. Did Mr. Krischer ever write any correspondence to you regarding the Epstein investigation? Doesn't have to be a formal letter.
 - A I'm trying to think. Typically when law enforcement presents an application for a capias, that there's a written response, but I can't remember if there was. And that typically is directed to the agency head. I can't remember if there was one in this case when we presented the application, probable cause affidavit.

I mean I'm trying to be exhaustive because you're asking me to do that and I might not even see that if it was ever sent. But I can't -- I cannot recall anything that the State Attorney's Office wrote in this case that they directed to me

- Q And Ms. Villafana, I think you said you received a -- did you receive a letter from her with a list of names or did you receive a list separate as an attachment or in conjunction with a letter that was sent?
- A I think it was a letter that the names were included.
- Q Was it Ms. Villafana who told -- well, let me strike that.
- Prior to receiving the letter, did she indicate, she Mr. Villafana, indicate to you that she was going to send you a letter with names, that you were to read the letter, compare with whatever list that you had, names that you had, and then you were to destroy it?
- A I'm not sure if she indicated that in a conversation prior to my receiving the letter or when I contacted her once I received the letter and said are you sure it's okay for me to destroy this.
- Q And again, it was Ms. Villafana who said destroy the letter with the contents of the letter?
- A The letter said that in the letter and she said that when I talked to her.
- Q Right. But she was the one who signed the letter?

Page 230 Page 232 County? 1 1 A Yes. 2 2 Q Is that the only correspondence that you A Yes. 3 ever received from Ms. Villafana? 3 Q And with regard to the surveillance that 4 4 was done, did any of the surveillance ever depict, A I think so. 5 Q Did you ever receive any correspondence 5 as you described it, young girls or young females 6 6 that caused you any concern or that was brought of from the FBI, you directly? 7 A Relative to this case? 7 any concern to you? 8 8 Q I'm sorry, yes, of course. MR. KUVIN: Form. 9 THE WITNESS: I would have only known this 9 A No, I did not receive any correspondence 10 10 relative to this case from the FBI. by report of a detective, and I don't recall 11 11 Q With regard to the Epstein investigation, seeing what you described. BY MR. CRITTON: 12 12 to your knowledge were there ever any type of wire 13 13 taps, phone taps related to Mr. Epstein that were Q Or being told of that? 14 conducted by the Palm Beach Police Department? 14 A That's correct. If I could, you know what 15 15 I would like to do, I would like to check my A Not by the Palm Beach Police Department. 16 16 Are you aware of any other agency who messages at some point and take a quick break for 17 advised you that in fact phone taps or wire taps of 17 that, my phone is going off. 18 some sort were being performed or directed to Mr. 18 Q Please. 19 19 Epstein? A You don't want to see that right now, do 20 20 A I was never advised of that. you? 21 21 Q Were you aware -- did Palm Beach Police Q Well I do, but you're not going to show it 22 Department ever engage in any surveillance of either 22 to me. Mr. Epstein or his home here in Palm Beach? 23 23 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're off the record at A Yes. 24 24 4:18, this is the end of tape 4. 25 25 MR. KUVIN: Form. (Off the record) Page 231 Page 233 1 1 BY MR. CRITTON: THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're back on the 2 2 record at 4:30. This marks the beginning of O During what time period was there 3 3 surveillance of Mr. -- well, let me strike that. tape 5. 4 Did you ever look at any of the 4 BY MR. CRITTON: 5 5 surveillance? Q Let's see. When we finished talking just 6 A I never personally conducted the 6 about -- Palm Beach Police Department in terms of 7 7 surveillance, nor did I ever look at photographs or its policies and procedures, was there actually a 8 8 videos. Even if they existed, I don't know if they policy and procedure book? 9 9 do, no. I do know that from reading the report and A Yes. 10 talking to the detectives there was surveillance 10 Q And did that include officers, that would 11 11 conducted, yes. have included you, detectives, making comments to 12 Q Did they ever indicate to you that as a 12 media outlets, local news, etcetera? Was there a 13 result -- well, let me strike that. 13 policy and procedure in effect back in '04, '05, 14 '06, '07? 14 Was there surveillance only of Mr. 15 15 Epstein's home? A Yes. 16 A I don't think so. I think that we knew 16 Are you the one who set that procedure? 17 17 At least it was in effect -- well, let me strike where he parked his aircraft and there were times 18 that we watched the aircraft. I don't specifically 18 that 19 19 remember the surveillance happening anywhere other You certainly would have been the 20 than his home or wherever he took the aircraft, but 20 person who would monitor and make certain it was the 21 it certainly would have been possible. It wouldn't 21 policy and procedure that you wanted for any 22 22 have mattered where, we were trying to observe officer, detective or yourself commenting to a news 23 23 whether or not there were young girls coming or media, true? 24 24 going, we would do that wherever. A Yes. 25 Q And in fact -- but within Palm Beach 25 Q Let me ask you a question in terms of,

Page 234 just so I have a timeline in my head. It looks like, at least from the incident report, the call that came in from one of the alleged victims, parents or relation in some way, was on March 14th of '05, correct, you saw that in the report? A Yes. O And we know the investigation, at least looking at the incident report, the incident report was concluded, the report was prepared as of February 17th of '06, correct? That's also on the face of that report. It's got report date. I'm sorry, it's got A First page it's March 14th of --'05. And how do I know that then. Oh. I'm sorry, the upper left-hand corner. A That would have been the -- I think that's the date and time that the report was printed. O And the report then, that would have been its final -- that is, it would have been in its final form, at least as of February 17th of '06? A Well if this was printed on 7/19/06 at 3:01 p.m., this was the format that it was in at the time that it was printed. Q I'm sorry --Page 235

Page 237

A Were there any additions or changes, I don't know.

MR. CRITTON: If you could, Spencer, would you pass me Exhibit 2 back again please.

MR. KUVIN: Sure.

BY MR. CRITTON:

On All right, You're looking at a report.

Q All right. You're looking at a report date, or at least a print date of 7/19/06, which is on Exhibit 2, correct, that's the date, at least the report print date?

A Yes. I think that's the date that the report was printed, yes.

Q Does it indicate when the report was finalized; that is, is there something within the report that would reflect when it was finalized?

A Finalized. Well, generally how reports end, if they do end, because if it's an active investigation and it's not solved it could be suspended, it could be closed, it could be active, there'd be -- usually the last narrative, the last supplement would reflect the status. And the last page that I see is 7/12/06, says investigation continues, but we know at some point it ended.

Q Would the investigation end when in fact the report -- I'm sorry, when the ASA, Assistant State Attorney, Lanna -- is it Belo -- Belohlavek?

Page 236

A I know who you're referring to, it's --

Q Belohlavek told you that the case would be taken to the Grand Jury. Is that in essence when your investigation would end?

A Yeah, pretty much. But it doesn't reflect, it doesn't say investigation closed.

And the other thing that would typically be here, and this is a Grand Jury so it's different, we would get a letter from the State Attorney's Office saying if it was noll prossed or the charges were, and that would be included as the final entry, but I don't see that here.

Q And in terms of the Grand Jury, we know from the report that it was going to the Grand Jury sometime in mid July, correct?

A Convened July 19th, yes.

Q And were you aware that the Grand Jury came down with its indictment on or about the latter part, around July 27th, 28th of '06?

A I don't recall the date.

Q Do you recall that the Grand Jury -- what the charge was, the single charge the Grand Jury came back with?

A Precisely, no, but it had the effect of

I solicitation for prostitution with two individuals that constituted a felony, something like that.

Q But it was a single charge for felony solicitation?

A Yes.

Q And it did not reference minor, did it?

A I don't remember. You probably have a copy of it.

Q Subsequent to that; that is, following the Grand Jury, Mr. Epstein was arrested? That is, subsequent to the indictment?

A I read in the newspaper that he turned himself into the State Attorney's investigators, yes.

Q But the Palm Beach Police would not have been involved in that?

A We were not a party to that, no.

Q In May -- in looking at Exhibit 3 there's the May 1, 2006 letter that you wrote to Mr. Krischer, correct?

A Yes.

Q So in terms of the process, just so I understand it, Mr. Reiter, is the investigation at least began, from looking at the incident report, as a result of the phone call from one of the alleged

Page 238 1 1 victims in March of '05 and that investigation 2 2 continued, at least from the report that we have, at 3 3 least through approximately July 12th of '06, 4 4 correct? 5 5 MR. KUVIN: Form. 6 6 THE WITNESS: What was the first date you 7 7 iust said? 8 8

BY MR. CRITTON:

O March 14th of '05.

Yes. Α

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

17

18

19

20

21

25

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

Q And then it continued from, at least from the incident report we have, is through approximately July 12th, July 16th of '06?

A Yes.

MR. KUVIN: Form.

16 BY MR. CRITTON:

> Q Along the timeline here during that time period from March 14th of '05, July '06, is at some point the police department provided a probable cause affidavit to the State Attorney; is that correct?

22 A Yes.

23 Q Do you know the time period that that 24 would have occurred?

A I think it would have been early in 2006.

of Jeffrey Epstein, Sarah Kellen, Haley Robson.

Q So at least in looking at the letter now, Exhibit 3, it appears that the probable cause affidavits were filed -- were provided to Mr. Krischer as the State Attorney in conjunction with a letter that you were writing him asking him to consider whether he should disqualify himself from the prosecution of the case, correct?

A Right. And I think that there had been prior -- maybe not formal presentations of probable cause affidavits, but there had been some showing of, you know, this is what we have so far kind of things to the State Attorney's Office prior to that in helping us to develop where we were taking the case and what charges we might ask for. This is the formal one, you're right, that happened along with the letter.

Q Before I -- I'm going to come back to this in just one minute.

At what point in time did you contact the FBI or the United States Attorney's Office date wise?

A I didn't, they contacted me. Well, there had been some contact between Detective Recarey and the FBI by way of asking questions of what federal

Page 239

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

б

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

Page 241

Page 240

1 And that's --

> Q And would you have been involved in the probable cause affidavit in any fashion that would have been presented to the State Attorney's Office?

A I read it. That was the extent of my involvement.

Q And if I ask you to assume that the -well, let me strike that.

Had you had any conversations with Mr. Krischer about the Epstein investigation prior to your letter to him on May 1st, 2006?

A Yes.

And in fact you told us earlier you had a number of conversations with him?

A Yes.

Q Is it your belief that the probable cause affidavit would have been provided to the State Attorney's Office well in advance of May 1st of 2006; that is, the date of your --

A Yes.

Q And why do you believe that?

22 A Well because the letter says please find 23 enclosed -- please find enclosed the probable cause 24 affidavits and case filing packages thus far

25 resulting from the police department's investigation charges might apply here when it was clearly that the State Attorney didn't feel the same way about the state charges as we did. But actual contact as in here's the case, that happened the Monday -- the newspaper reported the arrest, that's how I learned about the arrest, and on that day the FBI contacted me and asked me to bring the detective over and bring the case and turn it over to them and answer their questions and so on. So whatever that Monday was following the Grand Jury and the arrest.

O I'm more interested in when did the first communication come; that is, who initiated the first communication from between the Palm Beach Police Department and the FBI? Was that you?

A No.

0 That was Recarey?

Yes. Α

Q Now would an officer in your department, when you were Chief, have the authority to initiate a communication with the FBI about getting -potentially getting involved in a case without your approval?

MR. GARCIA: Form.

THE WITNESS: Yes. It was a matter of a -- not really potentially getting involved in

Page 242 Page 244 1 1 the case, I think that's not really a correct same sort of thing. Probably the event that made me 2 2 characterization. It was questions about what think that that would have to be the likely outcome 3 federal statutes might apply in this instance. 3 would be referring it to the FBI was when 4 4 BY MR. CRITTON: Mr. Krischer suggested that this would, you know, 5 Q But if I understood your earlier testimony 5 maybe could be handled by a notice to appear. When 6 6 that happened exactly, I don't recall. is, is that one of the reasons that the 7 7 communication occurred between Palm Beach Police Q Was it before -- well clearly it was 8 8 Department and the FBI is, is because the Palm Beach before the May 1st, 2006 letter. How many months or 9 9 Police Department, including you, was not weeks before that time period did you reach the 10 10 comfortable with what the State Attorney was telling conclusion that you felt that -- or when 11 you as to how they felt about Epstein or the 11 Mr. Krischer said that he was thinking about a 12 12 potential for prosecuting Mr. Epstein based upon the notice to appear and you said I'm not going for 13 investigation that the Palm Beach Police Department 13 that, in essence? 14 had done? 14 A No. I didn't reach that conclusion until 15 15 A Yes. But that didn't happen until the the Grand Jury had been convened and they indicted 16 16 Monday after the Grand Jury. Mr. Epstein, and I guess the State Attorney arranged 17 Q But I thought what you just told me a 17 him to turn himself into them, and we were not 18 minute ago is there had been some conversations 18 involved in it and we read about it in the 19 between Recarey and the FBI? 19 newspaper. And it was pretty clear that was the 20 MR. KUVIN: Form. 20 summary of what the state was going to do in that 21 THE WITNESS: Yes. 21 case, that was it, one felony charge. And that was 22 22 BY MR. CRITTON: the point that was clear to me that that wasn't an 23 23 appropriate resolution of this matter. And that's O Someone had to initiate that? 24 24 A Yes. I think he contacted them and said. when I -- that morning I wrote a letter to the 25 like I said, here's the case we're working on, does 25 parents of the victims and that's the morning that Page 243 Page 245 1 1 also before I could even contact the FBI, that the this fit into your area. Is there a federal nexus 2 2 or crime that might apply here. FBI contacted me. 3 3 Q But what you had indicated is that that Q You jumped ahead of me there. I want to communication began as a result of your uncertainty 4 4 go back to is the conversation Mr. Krischer and the 5 5 or being uncomfortable with what the State Attorney, ASA were telling you, you know, these aren't the 6 who had been the State Attorney for what, twelve 6 kind of offenses that we usually prosecute, you 7 7 years by that point in time, ten or twelve years -know, your investigation. Despite the fact that 8 8 MR. KUVIN: Form. your office had devoted almost a year to the 9 BY MR. CRITTON: 9 investigation, they were telling you that they were 10 10 Q -- what he was telling you back about a not, in essence, very moved by what you had turned 11 potential Epstein prosecution, true? 11 up so far. Fair statement? A Yes. 12 MR. KUVIN: Compound. 12 13 THE WITNESS: I can at least answer part 13 Q And again, you had a very experienced 14 14 prosecutor, Mr. Krischer had been the State Attorney of that. 15 15 BY MR. CRITTON: for many, many years, correct? 16 16 MR. KUVIN: Form. Q Okay. 17 17 A That -- yeah. My -- our growing interest THE WITNESS: I think you said twelve, and 18 in involving the FBI began when it was fairly clear 18 that's roughly the case.

Q All right. And you're not an attorney, or

THE WITNESS: Yes. You know that that's

were not an attorney then, Chief Reiter, correct,

19

2.0

21

22

23

2.4

25

BY MR. CRITTON:

correct, yes.

you were a Police Chief?

MR. KUVIN: Form.

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

state system.

that the case wouldn't be fully prosecuted in the

A First part of 2006. At the point -- when

difficult to prosecute, and Mr. Krischer told me the

we started hearing from the Assistant State

Attorneys that this is a case that would be very

Q Give me a time frame?

Page 248 Page 246 1 1 BY MR. CRITTON: BY MR. CRITTON: 2 2 Q That Ms. Belohlavek had referenced is that Q I know that's correct, you're right. 3 And from a practical standpoint 3 she did not believe that there were any victims 4 4 Mr. Krischer is the -- well you're responsible for here? 5 the safety and welfare and the police work that goes 5 MR. HILL: Object to form. 6 б on in Palm Beach, you understood Mr. Krischer was MR. KUVIN: Join. 7 responsible in his office for prosecuting crimes 7 THE WITNESS: I don't remember it ever 8 8 that occurred in Palm Beach County. And not just in being characterized exactly like that. 9 9 the Town of Palm Beach, but every town, municipality BY MR. CRITTON: 10 10 and within the county itself, all crimes within Palm Q You ever remember her saying something to 11 Beach County, true, he and his office? 11 the effect that she didn't believe that there were 12 A That's the political system that we have, 12 any real victims here? 13 13 yes. MR. HILL: Object to form. MR. KUVIN: Join. 14 Q Right. And you each have your own 14 15 descriptions. Such as Sheriff Bradshaw, he's in 15 BY MR. CRITTON: 16 charge of all of the sheriffs and the deputy 16 Q Or something to that effect? 17 sheriffs within Palm Beach County and you, just like 17 A Her comment was more about -- both of 18 other towns and municipalities, you're in charge of 18 their comments to me was more about this was not in 19 your specific municipality, true? 19 their view a prosecutable case. 20 MR. KUVIN: Form. 20 Q And were you aware that Lanna was in 21 THE WITNESS: Yes. 21 charge of the sex crimes type division at the State 22 BY MR. CRITTON: 22 Attorney's Office? 23 Q Now Mr. Krischer, when he told you and had 23 A Yes. 24 24 indicated these weren't the types of cases they were O Were you aware that she had been involved 25 going to prosecute, do you recall sitting down or 25 in writing and writing some of the legislation that Page 247 Page 249 1 having a conversation with Lanna Belohlavek where 1 dealt with sex crimes? 2 2 she indicated that in her opinion there were really A No. 3 3 no victims? MR. KUVIN: Form. 4 MR. HILL: Object to form. 4 BY MR. CRITTON: 5 5 MR. KUVIN: Join. Q Prior to the time that you had the 6 THE WITNESS: No. 6 conversation with -- and again, was that 7 7 BY MR. CRITTON: conversation with Mr. Krischer? 8 8 Q She never said that to you? A Which conversation? O Conversation where he was talking about, 9 A I never sat down -- I don't even think 9 10 10 I've ever met her. and if there were any other Assistant State 11 11 O Had you ever heard that --Attorneys present, that they were thinking in terms 12 A I think we had a telephone conversation. 12 of maybe a notice to appear would be appropriate 13 Q Let me ask the question. 13 based on your investigation or the lengthy 14 Do you recall hearing from her, 14 investigation that the Palm Beach Police Department 15 15 although not specifically, but her from Mr. Krischer had done based upon their review of the incident 16 is that Ms. Belohlavek, was she present, at least in 16 report, based upon their review of the law and based 17 a phone conversation, where you were speaking with 17 upon their responsibility in terms of prosecuting 18 Mr. Krischer? 18 crimes here in Palm Beach County? 19 19 A No. A The times that I've talked to him about 2.0 Q You recall Mr. Krischer or another ASA 2.0 that, there was never anyone else present at all. 21 telling you that Ms. Belohlavek --21 Q Prior to the time that he told you that he 22 22 THE WITNESS: Lanna Belohlavek. was thinking about a notice to appear, had you 23 MR. HILL: I don't know think we have time 23 spoken, you in particular, spoken with anyone from 24 for all this. 24 the FBI or the United States Attorney's Office? 25 25 A No.

Page 250 Page 252 1 1 Q Had anyone in your department spoken with he would have had the authority to do that. I 2 the FBI or the U.S. AO's office to your knowledge? 2 don't know whether he went as a result of my 3 A I don't know the nuances of time and when. 3 request or he did it on his own or, I don't 4 4 know. For an assignment like Detective Recarey Q If I understand your testimony then, it 5 was subsequent, shortly thereafter, you believed or 5 he's talking to the FBI almost daily on a б 6 you felt that the State Attorney wasn't taking, in variety of things, so it's not like it's a big 7 essence, the investigation that you had done 7 thing. It's just as if you had lunch everyday 8 8 seriously enough, and is that when Detective Recarey with the same lawyers and other firms, you talk contacted someone from the FBI? 9 9 about different things. He didn't have any 10 10 MR. KUVIN: Objection, asked and answered. lunch everyday with them but he had a lot of 11 11 THE WITNESS: From the first question that contact. And so he mentioned here's a case. really is not -- first part of the question, 12 12 what do you think about this case. 13 that is not what I said. What I said was I 13 Substantially before the time period that the 14 felt that the State Attorney on this particular 14 Grand Jury took their action. How long, I 15 15 case was not being objective in his decision to don't know, some point that first part of that do what he chose to do. That's what I think I 16 16 17 wrote here, that's what I told him. 17 BY MR. CRITTON: 18 Q Did he tell you who he spoke with at the 18 The second part of the question was, that 19 timed with the FBI, can't speak for when the 19 FBI? You've referenced a Nesbitt Kirkendall, which 20 20 detective made any contacts, but as I realized is a female, correct? 21 that this case would not be prosecuted in the 21 A Yes. 22 way that I felt appropriate, that increased my 22 Was there a person named Jason Richards? O 23 interest. As I became more convinced of that, 23 A Yes, I believe that was --24 24 my interest and plan to refer to the FBI, which Was that the male? 25 I never really had the chance to do, increased 25 Yes, that's --Α Page 251 Page 253 1 1 as well. Q He's the other awardee? 2 BY MR. CRITTON: 2 A He was the co-agent is how I understand 3 3 Q But did your contact with the FBI, did they describe it on a case, and, yes, I also gave 4 that occur before May 1st of 06, the day you 4 him an award. 5 5 authored the letter to Krischer? Q And do you know whether it was either 6 6 A No. My contact about the FBI occurred the Nesbitt or Jason that Mr. -- or Detective Recarey 7 7 Monday morning following the indictment. spoke with? 8 8 Q But I thought you said that someone, you A I think it was Nesbitt. And she kind of 9 thought --9 had a reputation for being proficient at child sex 10 10 A My office. You asked me or somebody in crimes and these of things, and I think that's why 11 the department. My personal contact, that's when --11 Detective Recarey went to her. 12 Q Someone from your office then, Recarey, 12 Q And with regard to the conversations that 13 had his contact with the FBI occurred before May 1st 13 he, he meaning Recarey, had -- strike that. 14 14 of 2006? Would it have only been Recarey that 15 MR. KUVIN: Objection, speculation. 15 spoke with the FBI before the contact post Epstein's 16 THE WITNESS: I don't know the nuances of 16 arrest to your knowledge? 17 the timing, but probably. 17 A I don't know. He had supervisors 18 BY MR. CRITTON: 18 certainly, and his supervisors might have talked to

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

their supervisors, I don't know.

latter part of July of 2006?

Q What did he, Detective Recarey, tell you

about his conversations with the FBI prior to May

1st -- in fact, prior to Mr. Epstein's arrest in the

A He said that if Mr. Epstein was using

aircraft or the countrywide telephone system to

Q And did you know that Recarey was going to

THE WITNESS: I don't remember the exact

contact the FBI; that is, did you discuss with him

MR. KUVIN: Form.

that he should contact the FBI, he came to you and

said I'm going to contact the FBI? What happened?

genesis of that. Had he had gone on his own,

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

Page 254 Page 256 1 1 facilitate this, then there might be a federal nexus Mr. Epstein, and that was it, based on the entire 2 2 and they would be interested in looking at that at investigation that the Palm Beach Police Department 3 the appropriate time. They actually, I think, were 3 had done, based upon the testimony, all of the 4 4 interested in looking at it before the Grand Jury testimony, correct? 5 and the arrest. And we said we're still working the 5 MR. HILL: Object to form. б 6 state case, we didn't want to -- if for some reason MR. KUVIN: Speculation. 7 the state case was not concluded successfully, then 7 BY MR. CRITTON: 8 8 we would probably bring them into it. Q Let me rephrase the question. 9 9 Q If I understand your testimony is, you You were aware there was a Grand 10 10 didn't have any conversation yourself until after Jury; that is, the Grand Jury took testimony? 11 Mr. Epstein's arrest with the FBI? 11 A I'm aware that the Grand Jury took 12 A About this case, that's correct. 12 testimony, yes. 13 13 Q Correct. And did Detective Recarey Q And as a result of that testimony that was 14 indicate how many conversations he had had with the 14 presented, whatever was presented by the Assistant 15 FBI? 15 State Attorneys, they returned a one-count 16 16 A No. I know that he was in contact with indictment against Mr. Epstein; you're aware of that 17 them more than one time as he learned more about the 17 fact? 18 18 case just to provide information to help decide if A I am. 19 there was a federal nexus. 19 Q And that's part of the process as well; that is if Mr. Krischer decided for whatever 20 Q And at any time prior to late July; that 20 21 is, the time that Mr. Epstein was arrested, did he 21 reasons, as he had in a number of cases as he 22 ever tell you what the FBI'S impression was or any 22 described to you that were -- he felt were 23 conclusions or opinions they had about the 23 duplicately sensitive or were appropriate for a 24 24 information you had provided? Grand Jury, it's his option as the State Attorney to 25 MR. KUVIN: Form. 25 take a particular set of facts and make a Page 255 Page 257 1 1 BY MR. CRITTON: presentation to the Grand Jury, true? 2 2 MR. KUVIN: Form. Q To you? 3 3 THE WITNESS: That's correct. MR. KUVIN: Form. THE WITNESS: He said that they said that BY MR. CRITTON: 4 4 5 5 there may very well be a, you know, a federal Q And part of that process; that is, as the 6 crime here, a federal case there. 6 chief, I guess the chief prosecuting officer for 7 7 BY MR. CRITTON: Palm Beach County, he made the decision to use a 8 8 Grand Jury in this instance with regard to Q Did you disclose that to Mr. Krischer at 9 any time, in May or June of 2006? 9 Mr. Epstein, and that's what the Grand Jury came 10 A I think that when we talked probably the 10 back with and you weren't satisfied with that, were 11 11 last time and before all this other stuff happened, 12 I told him that that would be an option but I would 12 A I don't think that satisfaction was 13 rather and felt that this was most appropriately 13 something that was my standard there. 14 14 handled by law enforcement, which he and I were part Q May not have been. But Mr. Krischer, as 15 of. I continue to feel that that's really where it 15 the State Attorney, followed a process to which is 16 should have been. 16 his option to use, presented it to a Grand Jury of 17 17 Q And in fact Mr. Krischer ultimately took however many individuals, and that decision that 18 the decision as to whether or not charges would be 18 came back with those individuals was not something 19 brought against Mr. Epstein, took it to the Grand 19 that you felt was satisfactory? 20 Jury, correct? 20 MR. HILL: Object to form. 21 A He did. 21 BY MR. CRITTON: 22 22 And a Grand Jury of twenty some odd Q True? 23 people, I don't know how many people were there but 23 MR. KUVIN: Form.

THE WITNESS: I didn't feel that justice

was sufficiently served.

2.4

25

24

25

there's a certain minimum amount that have to be

there, they returned a one-count indictment against

Page 258 Page 260

BY MR. CRITTON:

Q Let me ask you about the -- let's step back for just a minute.

In terms of your deposition today, other than meeting with your attorneys, Ms. O'Connor and Mr. Randolph, did you review any information in preparation for your deposition?

- A I reviewed the statute that I reviewed at the same time that I wrote this, wrote the letter Exhibit Number 3.
- Q The statute about whether there was -whether or not Mr. Krischer should consider disqualifying himself from the prosecution of the Epstein case?
 - A Yes.
- Q Did you review -- other than that statute, did you review anything else?
- A No.
- Q So even the report itself, you hadn't seen for some period of time?
 - A That's correct.
- Q From the time that you -- since the time that you left your position as Chief of Police of the Palm Beach Police Department, have you had an occasion to speak with any lawyers whatsoever

I know that represents -- is a Plaintiff's lawyer in this case.

- Q Mr. Garcia, I think, said you might know him, you may not, but you've obviously had no conversations with him before today?
 - A No.

2.2

- Q And any attorneys -- Jeffrey Herman is one of the attorneys, before he was suspended from the practice of law was one of the attorneys, or was the lead attorney for representing a number of the Jane Does. Have you ever spoken with him or any of his then partners, Mr. Mermelstein?
- A Previously you said ever, previously you said since I retired, now you said ever.
- Q Let me stay with since you've retired? Thank you.
- A No.
- Q Have you spoken with an attorney named Brad Edwards or Jay Howell?
- A Since I retired?
- Q Since you retired.

A And the reason I asked you that is some attorneys somehow got my direct phone number when I was Chief, and called me directly and told me that they represented a victim in this case, and I tried

Page 259

Page 261

associated with any of the Epstein cases with regard -- let me make it clear.

Since the time you retired as Chief of Police at Palm Beach, Town of Palm Beach, have you spoken with any attorneys who are in any way associated with any of the Epstein cases?

- A You would have to give me a list of all of the attorneys.
- Q Leopold and Kuvin, Mr. Kuvin is there, have you had an opportunity to speak with him prior to today's date?
 - A No.
- Q Have you spoken with Mr. Jack Scarola or Mr. Hill or any attorney from Searcy, Denney regarding the Epstein cases?
 - A I think I've said hello to Mr. Scarola.
- Q You've known Mr. Scarola, known him for a long period of time. I'm interested in -- that's yes?
 - A Yes.
- Q I'm interested in conversations, substantive conversations that you had about the Epstein case?
- A Not with any -- no, I haven't had any substantive conversations with any lawyers as far as

to graciously end the phone call but he tried to keep it going. I have no idea who that person was. Probably lasted three or four minutes and that was while I was still Chief. Since that time, had no contact and there was only one instance.

Q Have you known an attorney or have you seen the name Bradley J. Edwards, William Berger, Russell Adler that have been associated with the Epstein cases?

A In the news media. And do I read the news media reports of this, yes, I do.

- Q But have you met any of those individuals or had any discussions with them at any time regarding the Epstein case?
 - A No, no.
- Q Since the time that you've retired as Chief of Police, have you done any work as part of your firm, which I think you said did investigations, security and crisis management, have you done any work that's in any way associated with a law firm called Rothstein, Rosenfeld and Adler, P.A. located in Broward County?

A Well on one hand I think that my business since I've left the government is really not germane to this and I think it's privileged. But because of

Page 262 Page 264

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

1 all of the hoopla in the news media, absolutely not.

- Q Did you know, or do you know, Ken Jenny?
- Α Yes.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

15

16

17

18

19

20

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Q Did Mr. Jenny ever contact you at any time, either while you were Chief of Police or after you retired as Chief of Police at the Town of Palm Beach, to ever discuss any of the Epstein cases?
 - A No.
- 9 0 You know an investigator, former police 10 officer with Miami/Dade named Michael Fisten,
- F-I-S-T-E-N? Does that name mean anything to you? 11 12
 - A No. No.
- 13 Q Have you ever met Attorney Scott 14 Rothstein?
 - A I don't believe so.
 - Q Let me get back to kind of the time line.

Your first conversation with the FBI was post -- was just after the time that Mr. Epstein was arrested; is that correct?

- A Concerning this case, yes.
- 21 Q And was it -- if I understood your 22 testimony, you said that they contacted you?
- 23 A That's correct.
- 24 Q Who was the person who contacted you and 25 what did they say?

on the news media and they called me.

- Q Prior to the date of Mr. Epstein's arrest, had you ever released any portion of the investigation relating to Mr. Epstein to anyone other than obviously the State Attorney's Office?
- A No. Well, released as in pursuant to the public records law?
- Q To anyone. That is, had you made it available either to public records law or to anyone else other than State Attorney's Office?
- A Personally, no. Detective Recarey may have shown something to the FBI in his conversations, but I don't know, I wouldn't be surprised if I did.
- Q In regard to the investigation itself; that is, Exhibit 2 that is the incident report, was that document part of the public records release?
- A A redacted version at the point that the exemption no longer existed, yes.
- Q When you say the exemption no longer existed, meaning what?
- A Active criminal investigation which we had until the point that the Grand Jury met and Mr. Epstein was arrested.
 - And so at that point I think you said that

Page 263

Page 265

- A Junior Ortiz, who was the -- I guess it would be senior supervisory special agent in charge of something close to the title crimes against persons squad in the West Palm resident agency of the FBI.
- Q And did he just call you, hi, I'm -- well, let me strike that.

Did you know him before?

- A Yes.
- 10 Q Because you've dealt with him on other 11 matters?
 - A Yes.
 - Q And what did he say to you; that is, what was the nature of the conversation?
 - A Well we had, after the arrest was reported, you know, barrage of news media public records demand, at the point that I had, you know, I realized that we no longer had an active criminal investigation because the Grand Jury had spoken and the arrest had taken place and all that stuff, I released Exhibit 3 as part of the public records law demand and some other things that I thought were responsive and not any longer had exempt -- were exempted. So I think what happened is that was out there everywhere and the FBI saw that being reported

the investigation, at that point the Grand Jury returns its indictment, Mr. Epstein's arrested, you consider the investigation of Mr. Epstein concluded by the Town of Palm Beach, correct?

A Yes.

Q And so for any investigation that's concluded by the Town of Palm Beach regarding a potential suspect is those documents are all subject to being released under the public records law?

A No. not all. Because there's a redacted version and -- because there's information -- I don't have to give you what the exemptions are, but there are statutory provisions of identity of sexual battery victims and confidential informants and, you know, surveillance techniques and so on. All of the reports are releasable minus whatever exemptions may live on beyond the active criminal investigative exemption and the other things that I mentioned.

Q So as long as with regard to Mr. Epstein's case or any other individual's case, once the investigation is concluded, the Town of Palm Beach would release whatever the incident report is subject to whatever needs to be redacted or any of the exemptions, is that true, all of those documents then become public records?

Page 266

Page 268

A Yes. If -- this is a question you're going to have ask Detective Recarey, but if the FBI or the U.S. Attorney asks us not to release something because of some federal rule in their federal investigation, then that can be an issue. They didn't in this case that I know of, but as far as I know the situation that you described was how it occurred.

Q In this particular instance, before you released any of the records pursuant to the public records act and then the press could access them, had the FBI asked you, or had you been in discussions with the FBI Junior Ortiz?

A Personally, no. Yes, the FBI wanted -they asked for the documents prior to when they
called me on that Monday morning and asked me to
come over there. They had expressed an interest to
see documents and get involved in the investigation
prior to that time.

Q And when -- did you say his name was Junior?

A His legal name is Junior, yes.

Q And when you met with Junior Ortiz, he called you that morning, did you go over and meet with him?

right, and the law requires you to do that as quickly as you reasonably can.

Q You literally had people there requesting copies of the report?

A Absolutely. The news media didn't learn about this from the Palm Beach Police Department, they learned about it some other way, and they were already on the story before I even realized that there was a story. There was -- I described it before as a barrage, that's what it was, of a news media request and public records law demands, and they typically backed them up with threats. In this kind of case, because these cases take on a life of their own from the news media, you know, they've backed up their request with, you know, we're going to sue you for it if you don't release it and all of that. Well I knew the exemption didn't exist so I released it.

Q Did Mr. Ortiz call you that morning then?

19 Q Did 1 20 A Yes.

Q If I understood you correctly.

When he contacted you that morning and said we'd like you to come over and talk with us, Chief, did both you and Recarey go?

A Yes.

Page 267

Page 269

A Yes.

Q And did you take -- at that time did he have a copy of the, at that time, unpublished or unavailable incident report?

A It had already been released to the public. We opened up the paper literally Monday morning and I read about Mr. Epstein's arrest and so I knew that the state case had ended. And when I got to work that day I said okay, well, we don't have an exemption anymore, we need to release this stuff, do the redaction and so on. It had not been released prior to that point. And as far as I know, he didn't have a copy of it until Detective Recarey and I, who went to the FBI at their request, and handed them to him.

Q Why did you feel compelled that morning to release; that is, to go tell Detective Recarey that it would be all right or acceptable to release the report, to make it available to the public with whatever redactions were necessary? That is, what compelled you to do that at that point in time?

A Probably a two-hundred public records law demands and a lobby full of news media and their insistence. They said he's already turned himself in, let's turn over the documents, and they were Q Did you tell Mr. Ortiz at that time, look, I've got a bunch of people out in the lobby, that we're working on redacting the incident report, if you're going to take an investigation do you want us not to release this report until such time as you have an opportunity to look at it?

A No.

Q Why not? MR. KUVIN: Form.

BY MR. CRITTON:

Q Why didn't you say that?

A Well because I had been involved in enough of these public records law cases that had been litigated. As far as the state case goes, the Grand Jury had spoken, the Defendant turned himself in. He never -- I mean I'm going to tell him what he should probably ask me to do, that's not it. I felt a pressure from the news media to release this and it was a valid one. And my obligation of the law is to when the exemption doesn't exist anymore, as quickly as you reasonably can to release it, and we did. And if you don't it looks like you're hiding something.

Q If Mr. Epstein hadn't turned himself in at the time that you released it, would that have been

Page 272 Page 270 1 unusual for you to release it at that time? 1 his case. 2 2 MR. KUVIN: Form. Q Did he take evidence with him? 3 THE WITNESS: I don't know. If he 3 A No. 4 4 wouldn't have turned himself in I wouldn't have Q You said he took a good portion of his 5 even known about it, I would have thought we 5 case. 6 6 still had an active criminal investigation. A I mean he took reports, photographs. I 7 7 don't know what he took, you'll need to ask him, but BY MR. CRITTON: 8 8 Q You go over and you meet with Mr. Ortiz. he had more than this. 9 How long did you and Detective Recarey spend with 9 Q Did he take any surveillance? 10 10 him? A Images from surveillance? 11 11 A It wasn't just him, there were others O Correct. Or videos? 12 12 A I don't remember. I don't think any there. 13 13 Q I was going to ask you that, but how long videos were played. I don't think any videos were 14 were you there? 14 played. 15 A Couple of hours maybe. 15 O Did anyone offer to play any videos? That 16 O Who else in addition to Junior Ortiz was 16 is, do you recall someone saying we have videos of 17 present? 17 Mr. Epstein or of his house or the airport of young 18 18 A That's a good question. females coming in and out of his house or from the 19 Q It was you and Recarey and Ortiz? 19 airplane? 20 20 A Yes. A I don't recall that at that meeting. 21 21 O That is, from the Palm Beach Police Q At that time did the FBI indicate to you 22 Department it was you and Detective Recarey? 22 that they had done any surveillance, any type of 23 A Right. And Junior Ortiz. I think --23 surveillance on Mr. Epstein? 24 24 A They did not. somebody else from the FBI was there and I don't 25 remember who. Could have been Jason Richards. 25 Q Did anyone indicate at that meeting that Page 271 Page 273 1 Could very well have been Nesbitt Kirkendall, I 1 there had been any type of phone taps or wire taps 2 don't recall. And there was also somebody there 2 of any nature? 3 3 from the U.S. Attorney. A They did not. Q He or she? 4 4 Q At any meeting that you've ever been in, 5 5 A Could have been Marie Villafana, I'm not has anyone raised the specter or the possibility 6 6 that wire taps or phone taps had been done during sure. 7 7 Q Had you ever met Ms. Villafana before this the course of the investigation of Mr. Epstein by 8 case, before the Epstein case? 8 either the state, the city, Town of Palm Beach or 9 A I don't believe so. 9 the federal government. Have you heard that? 10 So she may have been there. 10 A No. 11 11 You met where, over off of Q No, you haven't heard that? 12 Australian, that's where their offices were at the 12 Α That's correct. 13 time, or were they at Flagler? 13 The meeting went on for two hours? O 14 14 A I don't remember. I think it's Flagler. A Approximately. 15 Q Where Mr. Randolph's office is, that 15 Approximately two hours. And what was 16 building? 16 discussed? What was the drift of what Ortiz --17 17 A The biggest part, the biggest A I think so. O Was Mr. Randolph there? 18 18 discussion -- part of the discussion was Detective A No. 19 19 Recarey relating what he believed happened relative 2.0 20 O I know he wasn't. to the victims that he was able to interview. And 21 All right. In terms of the meeting, 21 the biggest part of the discussion was whether or 22 22 did you take -- you took a copy of the incident not there was a federal nexus, federal laws violated 23 report? 23 there. That was the biggest part of it. 24 A I didn't personally take anything. 24 Q And was Recarey talking and they were 25 Detective Recarey took, you know, a good portion of listening?

Page 274

A Initially there was more of that. And I probably filled in a couple of blanks and then it was discussion.

Q And what did they say; that is, what did the various representatives from the FBI say?

A Because we believed that the aircraft was involved and the countrywide telephone system, they felt as though there may very well be a federal nexus and a federal statutes violated.

And they basically accepted the investigation. They have to get investigations approved before it's an official investigation, but they took everything and said okay, we're going to go with this.

Q Did they tell you what they had done in their investigation of Mr. Epstein up to that point? That is, did Mr. Ortiz say this is what we've been doing with regard Mr. Epstein?

A No. And I had the impression that they really didn't -- hadn't been doing anything.

Q Did they have any type of folders or redwells or information they were willing to share with you other than commenting on a couple of federal statutes?

A No. And that was pretty much true of the

representatives from Mr. Epstein's legal team being present so that exculpatory evidence could be discussed at that point, among other aspects associated with the Epstein case?

Page 276

A There was a meeting scheduled as you have described. I don't recall that I was supposed to be a part of it.

Q Do you recall Mr. Krischer ever contacting you and saying hey, I set up a meeting so that you could be present along with Recarey, Detective Recarey, we're having Epstein's people there, we were going to talk about exculpatory -- they want to talk about exculpatory evidence and we were going to see if we could kind of work our way through the issues that you've raised and how you feel about how this case should be prosecuted in conjunction with the Epstein lawyers?

A And are you saying that the meeting took place, or are you asking me was there some discussion of the meeting potentially taking place?

Q Was there a scheduled meeting you were supposed to be there and you chose not to go? Or not to go, I guess is the better --

A I don't know, because --

Q You're saying that didn't happen?

Page 275

entire contact throughout this, I really got very little information.

Q Let me step back in time for just a minute, and if I go back to the time line that we were talking about.

Prior to the May 1st, 2006 letter that you sent to Mr. Krischer, had any meeting been set up between you, Mr. Krischer and any of the attorneys who were representing Mr. Epstein?

A Personally, no.

Q Subsequent; that is, after the May 1st, 2006 letter that you sent to Mr. Krischer, was there a meeting that was set up by Mr. Krischer for you and Detective Recarey to attend, he would be present along with any Assistant State Attorneys that he wanted to be present, and as well representatives from -- attorney representatives from Mr. Epstein who were representing Mr. Epstein?

A There was a meeting suggested, set up, cancelled, set up, cancelled. I don't remember whether I was ever going to be a part of it or not.

Q Is it your testimony then that there was never a meeting that was specifically set up by Mr. Krischer for you and Detective Recarey to be present, Mr. Krischer and ASA being present and

Page 277

A A meeting never took place that I know of. Q If Mr. Krischer was there with his ASA and the Epstein attorneys were there and you and

Detective Recarey were the only ones that weren't there, is it your testimony that this is the first time you've heard that; i.e., that there was such a meeting?

A I don't remember being invited to a meeting that actually took place. I remember our department being invited to a meeting that as far as I can recall never actually took place.

Q Well it couldn't take place obviously if you were main participants and you didn't show.

A I never went to a meeting. I don't specifically recall my personally being invited to a meeting. I do recall Detective Recarey, and it might have very well been my decision that I wouldn't go and then he would go, I really don't know. I don't specifically recall what you have described.

Q Do you ever recall speaking with Mr. Krischer about a meeting that you failed to attend or didn't attend?

A No.

Q After the meeting, the one meeting that

Page 278 Page 280

you had with the FBI in July of '06 or early August of '06, did you have any subsequent meetings with the FBI; that is, face-to-face meetings with the FBI?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

A Not like that. There were certainly occasions when I talked with them. Usually I'd be in a meeting for something else and, you know, they'd say something about the case or I'd say something about the case, but I wouldn't really call it a meeting. Did the topic come up subsequent to that with the FBI, yes.

Q On how many occasions, and who did you speak with in the FBI regarding the Epstein case after the meeting in late July of '06?

A Certainly Junior Ortiz several times. I eventually talked with Nesbitt Kirkendall but not for a long time later. And as part of other meetings and involvement with the FBI, I talked probably briefly with, you know, maybe once or twice with the special agent in charge, Solomon in Miami.

Q And why would you speak with him, what was the occasion? Did he contact you or you contacted

A I served on the FBI's joint terrorism task force board of directors and he is the chairperson Villafana?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

25

A No, not weeks, probably not even a month or so. I think it was a little bit deeper into it.

Q Did they advise you on that occasion; that is, that the meeting that you had in late July of '06, that they were going to serve you with a Federal Grand Jury subpoena so that they could obtain all of the evidence that the Palm Beach Police Department had?

A No.

Q Did they give you -- did they, the FBI or U.S. Attorney's Office, give you advance notice that they were going to serve you with a subpoena?

A Yes. They actually -- well they asked for the evidence. And I called Barry Krischer and said well, you know, we have a state case here, they're asking for the evidence, they have a case too, give me some guidance on what you prefer that we do with the evidence. And he said well, you should have a Grand Jury subpoena but we can get to it if we have to and give them the evidence if you want it, it's your decision.

Q Did you tell Mr. Krischer or disclose to Mr. Krischer before that you were going to meet with the FBI before you met with Junior Ortiz?

Page 279

of that. And so as part of that I would have -- a couple of times had very brief conversation while I was at these others.

Q Were you encouraging the FBI to pursue federal charges against Mr. Epstein?

A I was encouraging the FBI to diligently investigate them.

Q With regard to the FBI, did they ever come to the Town of Palm Beach to review any documents that you had in your possession? And when I say you, the department had in its possession?

A Not that I individually had in my possession, but they might very well came to the department to meet with Detective Recarey.

Q You said that at some point you were served with a Grand Jury -- or the department was served with a Federal Grand Jury subpoena?

A That's correct.

Q Can you give us a point and time that that occurred?

A Sometime after Mr. Epstein's arrest when they began to investigate it and they asked for custody of the evidence.

Q Did that occur shortly after the meeting that you had with Ortiz, the other agents and Ms. Page 281

A At that level of specificity, no. But in my conversations with him before the letter and so on, I did mention that that was an option, but one that I preferred not taking and I felt it should be handled by us.

Q You indicated earlier that you had spoken with U.S. Attorney Mr. Acosta on one occasion regarding the Epstein case?

A Yes.

Q Can you give me a point in time that that occurred?

A Well I can't tell you as well the actual date as I can the circumstances. The point that I thought we had been receiving very little information from the FBI or the U.S. Attorney was when I chose to meet with them. So we're sometime after May, June, July.

Q Of?

A Of 2006, it would be later.

Q It was after you met with the FBI?

A Oh, yes, long after.

22 Q And did you initiate the conversation or 23 did he call you?

24 A I initiated it.

And why, because you wanted to be brought

Page 282 Page 284

up to date with what was going on?

2.4

A Well, I had worked with the FBI and the U.S. Attorney for many years and I had never encountered so little information from them. We wanted to know -- we were getting calls from victims, what's happening in the case, you know. So we wanted to know that they were responsible in the investigation of the case as well. And we had -- Detective Recarey made contacts and I made contacts and they just really didn't share anything with us.

And then at some point someone, I think someone in the U.S. Attorney's Office, probably Marie, you know, I'm not authorized to give you anymore information and I said who is. And from that I realized that I would have to go to the top to, you know, to learn a little bit more about the case or at least be satisfied that it was being investigated diligently.

investigated diligently.

Q Let me get in the time line then. So
Mr. Epstein is indicted by the Grand Jury, he turns
himself in, is arrested and charged. Within shortly
that same day that you learn about him being turning
himself in, you go see Junior Ortiz, the rest of the
FBI and Ms. Villafana, and approximately a month
plus later, the Town of Palm Beach gets a Grand Jury

list that you had on it, that came -- I think you said -- did you tell us that Ms. Villafana said that she was going to send you a letter first?

A Yes, and she did.

Q Said I'm going to send you a letter and I'm going to give you the full names of the individuals but then I want you to destroy the letter, correct?

A Yes?

б

Q And had you asked her for the information

A No.

Q -- or was she offering the information to you?

A What I asked her is a way to resolve whether or not our victims in the state case, and since -- and not all the victims in the state case, at least apparently, I don't know this for a fact, but I had assumed that as a result of the true bill from the Grand Jury that they hadn't all been considered in there, I wanted to make sure that some prosecution body had considered all of our victims. So I said how do we resolve this. I didn't know whether or not to continue conducting the investigation and potentially present charges if it

Page 283

Page 285

subpoena?

A Sometime later, I don't remember how long after.

Q How much later than that do you speak with Mr. Acosta, are we talking a month, six months?

A It might be six months.

Q And during that time period, because now we would have gone into 2007, during that time period?

A Could have.

Q During that time period, were you in touch with Marie Villafana on a regular basis but you knew you could pick up the phone because she was the Assistant U.S. Attorney in charge of the investigation as you understood it?

A I was in contact with her but not very frequent. I didn't have much contact with her until it got to the non-prosecution agreement and plea situation.

Q With regard to the -- at the time that you saw the list, you said at the time you got a letter with a list of individuals, had the non-prosecution agreement been signed at that point in time?

A They told me that it had been signed, yes.

Q And the list; that is, the letter with the

warranted it for some of the latter victims. So I said how do we resolve knowing whether or not our victims all became your victims. And she said I'll send you a letter with a name and you'll have to destroy it. And I got the letter.

Q Is that the only correspondence you ever received from her, her meaning Ms. Villafana?

MR. HILL: About this case?

MR. CRITTON: Correct.

THE WITNESS: I think she sent me a thank you note when I gave her the plaque, which I didn't keep, but other than that, yes.

BY MR. CRITTON:

Q Okay. And going back to the letter, did the letter contain anything or was it just Dear Chief Reiter, here's the list I had indicated I was going to send, here's the list of the names, under such and such you can please destroy this letter?

A Basically, yes.

Q Did it say anything else?

A No.

Q And with regard to the section, when you spoke with her she told you that you should destroy the letter, if I understood it, before you got the letter?

Page 286 Page 288

1 A Yes.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q I know Mr. Randolph represents the Town of Palm Beach. Does the police department have its own independent counsel?

A No.

Q So if you wanted to know whether in fact a letter that was being sent to you by anyone, whether it's another governmental agency, whether it's the feds, whether it's a citizen, whether something should be kept pursuant to the Florida Public Records Act or whether it should be destroyed, who would you go to, would you go to the Jones and Foster firm and ask that question?

A Sometimes.

Q If --

A Sometimes we would know that and there wouldn't be a necessity.

Q In this particular instance, had the federal government ever sent you a document that they asked you to destroy?

A Had that ever happened before?

Before Ms. Villafana's letter to you regarding Mr. Epstein and the list of purported victims? That is, you'd gotten a letter from someone that said I'm sending you a letter but

subject to the public records request, or public records law?

A I was given direction by a United States Attorney, it seemed -- no, the thought never occurred to me to ask anybody else's advice.

Q And I assume -- well let me ask you this. You made no copies of that document?

A That's correct.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23 24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q How many names were on the list, or do you specifically have any recollection?

A Thirty some or forty some.

Q Do you know when you received the list in terms of time frame? And I would ask you to assume -- I think you told me that -- as far as you knew the non-prosecution agreement was in effect at the time that you received the list, or is it possible that the non-prosecution agreement hadn't been signed?

A It's possible. I never saw it. I still to this day haven't seen the non-prosecution agreement. And I have been told there are different versions and addendums and all those sorts of things. It's possible, but the list had come very late in all of this. I mean this is not something -- the thought occurred to me that either

Page 287

Page 289

1 you've got to destroy it? 2

A Yes.

O What other occasion had that occurred?

A It would be a privileged situation.

Q Don't use a name but describe a situation?

A It would be national security information.

And you understood that at least this

list -- did that happen on one occasion or on more than one occasion where it involved a national security issue, sir?

A I've only been thinking about it for a few minutes, but I can recall right now just one situation.

O Clearly the list of names of purported victims regarding Mr. Epstein, you would not have classified as national security issue, true?

A Even seven or eight hours into this giddiness, that's correct.

Q And therefore did you -- other than listening to what Ms. Villafana said to you, destroy the document, did you think that gee, maybe I should check with Mr. Randolph or check within the department first or check with Mr. Randolph's office at Jones, Foster to determine whether or not I can destroy this record or whether it's going to be

the state's criminal case was being resolved or had been resolved, it was very far along the way and that we still had a victim that came -- a victim or two that came kind of late in this thing that we didn't know whether or not the FBI, they'd ever talked to her. And so I wanted to make sure that we didn't have some responsibility to continue our investigation. So it was around the time of the sentencing.

Q The sentencing of Mr. Epstein?

Α

And it's possible that you could have received it before the sentence, it's possible you could have --

A It's possible, yes.

Q In terms of -- and if I understood what you described earlier, when you're doing an incident report and you have a victim, a victim may be a victim, a victim may not be a victim, that's really not -- that is, you're taking information, a person claims that he or she is a victim, they're recorded as a victim. As to whether they are or they're not, that's really not your issue, fair?

A If it's believable, if it's -- we have people that report crimes to us falsely, and if we Page 290 Page 292

know that at the point we wouldn't consider them a victim. But if we think that it is possible they're a victim, that person's name would be entered on the report.

- Q Did you advise the State Attorney's at all of any new quote, unquote, victims, that you thought might exist?
 - A No.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Q So you have the seventeen, I think that are listed in Exhibit Number 2. Is it your testimony that there were some additional people that were post the incident report being finalized sometime in July of 2006?
- A I don't know, because I don't know their names, you've given me a redacted report. Even if you gave me an unredacted report, I don't think I would know. The identity of the victims, their actual names were never important to me.
- Q Do you have an obligation; that is, if another victim, purported victim comes forward, do you have an obligation to give that information to the State Attorney's Office?
- 23 A No.
- Q What do you do with it?
- A Investigate it. And in this particular

- it to them. The State Attorney was never a party to any of that. I felt as though he wasn't objective with the case, I wasn't going to involve him in that. And that's not the typical situation. Our relationship in local law enforcement, at least in this area, when it necessitates being involved with the FBI or the U.S. Attorney, the State Attorney's typically not involved.
- Q Regard to Ms. Villafana -- well let me go back to Acosta. You never met with him, you just spoke with him over the phone?
 - A Who? I'm sorry.
- 13 Q Alex Acosta?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

14

15

16

17

24

1

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- A No, I met with him.
- Q Oh, you actually met with him? Did you go down to Miami?
 - A Yes.
- Q All right. How much time did you spend with him?
- A Probably an hour.
- Q Was Ms. Villafana there?
- 22 A No.
- Q Was Mr. Sloman there?
 - A I believe so.
- Q Were you and Mr. Recarey both there?

Page 291

- case it was sort of a conundrum, if they hadn't been considered in the federal case what do we do with
- it. But it wasn't necessary because the names wereall there.
 - Q Prior to -- with regard to
 - Ms. Villafana -- let me ask you this. Separate and apart from the conversation you had with Mr. Acosta, did Ms. Villafana keep you -- in fact I need to step back.
 - When you spoke with Mr. Acosta, I gather you were trying to find out what the status of their ongoing investigation was?
 - A Not really. The purpose of meeting with him was to confirm that they were doing their job, that they were being diligent in investigating the case.
 - Q And was any -- let me ask it this way. Why were you involved as the Chief of Palm Beach as distinct from the State Attorney being involved or being the connecting link with the U.S. Attorney's Office on the Epstein matter?
 - A The State Attorney was not involved at all in giving the information we had on the case to the FBI. That was a direct -- I would have done it anyway, but they asked for the information, I gave

- Page 293
- A No.
- Q Just yourself?
- 3 A That's correct.
 - Q And what did he tell you about the status of the investigation?
 - A He was extremely guarded. Told me very little about the status of the investigation.
 - Q So you went down there and you both said hello. Well, let me strike that.
 - Had you met him before?
 - A Yes.
 - Q And you basically went down there and he said we're working on it and that was it. Did you get any additional information from him?
 - A Yes.
 - Q What did you talk about?
 - A Well --
 - Q What did he tell you they were doing, if anything?
 - A He told me that this is the very first time that a Police Chief had ever asked to meet with him about a case that was under investigation, and I told him that this case is very unusual and that the state chose to bring very minor charges compared to what they could have brought in the case. And he

Page 294 Page 296

acknowledged that the lawyers in this case had -did very successful and he had been surprised at how successful they had been at bogging down the federal prosecution in the federal case.

I told him that the main purpose of why I was there was that I was present at his swearing in ceremony as well and I had heard what he said and I was touched by it, and I wanted to know that the kinds of things he held important in his role as a United States Attorney would be carried over to the action of this case, that they would diligently investigate this and not minimize it as the State Attorney did.

- Q Well you concluded that -- you stated it was your opinion that the State Attorney --
 - A That's my opinion.
- 17 O -- minimized it?
- 18 A That's right.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

22

23

24

25

- 19 Q And in fact you had taken it as a kind of 20 a cause celebre and you were pushing it with the 21 federal authorities, true?
- 22 MR. HILL: Object to the form.
- 23 MR. KUVIN: Join.

24 THE WITNESS: Untrue. Cause celebre vou 25 would have seen me on the hundred television

BY MR. CRITTON:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

- Q In this instance though with regard to Mr. Epstein, the federal government filed no charges against him, at least as of today's date, true?
- A That's my understanding, but I don't think they would tell me if they did.
- Q Well you'd know if charges were filed against Mr. Epstein now, wouldn't you?
- A I don't know that I'd know. I know that there was a non-prosecution agreement.
- O Ms. Villafana -- after the meeting that you had with Mr. Acosta, did you have an occasion to meet with Ms. Villafana again in person?
- A Yes. Yes. The award ceremony and possibly one other time. I did talk to her as the case and the non-prosecution and the state's case and the plea progressed, I had more contact with
- Q If I asked you to assume the non-prosecution agreement was signed when the initial document was signed in September '07 between 2000 -- between July of '06 and the time that the non-prosecution agreement was executed on or about the end of September 2007, on how many occasions did you meet with Ms. Villafana?

Page 295

Page 297

shows that invited me to it. 1 2

BY MR. CRITTON:

- Q Have you ever on a hundred television shows during the time you were Chief of Police?
- A In my view that's not the role of Police Chief. Many other Police Chiefs feel otherwise.
- Q With regard to then Mr. Acosta said we're investigating it, as to whether or not did he indicate whether or not there was -- indicate to you at all, as to whether or not they had any evidence on which to base any federal charges at that time, or is that -- was he guarded about that?
- A He was extremely guarded and he apologized for having to be that guarded.
- Q In your experience, if the federal government believed it had basis to file charges, they generally would file federal charges, wouldn't they?

MR. GARCIA: Objection to form. MR. KUVIN: Objection to form,

21 speculation.

> THE WITNESS: All I know is that when we bring fairly good -- we bring good cases to the federal government, they typically follow through and file charges if they're good cases.

I know the one occasion where she may have been with Junior Ortiz in July of '06. Did you meet with her again in person?

A At the crime watch breakfast when I gave the award.

- Q Let's talk about Epstein.
- A I don't believe so in person.
- Q Did you speak with her over the phone?
- A Yes.
- Q On how many occasions? Best estimate.
- A I don't know. Between a dozen and two 11 12 dozen.
 - Were you calling her or was she calling you?
 - A Usually I contacted her.
 - O
 - A Pieces of information would come to the department that needed to be communicated to them. There were time periods when the FBI wasn't answering our phone calls on this case. And they were very unusual with this, they told us very little, and I accepted that. I didn't feel like I needed to be informed, I felt like I needed to know that they were becoming informed and that they were

28 (Pages 294 to 297)

investigating the case.

Page 298 1 1 with them that you were disappointed with the manner Q You're talking about a little over a year, 2 2 a dozen or so communications between at least calls in which the State Attorney had prosecuted or had 3 to or from Ms. Villafana to you? 3 handled the Epstein matter? 4 4 A Right. MR. HILL: Objection, asked and answered. 5 What are we talking about? 5 MR. KUVIN: Twice, join. 6 6 A Do you want me to guess about the number? THE WITNESS: Yeah. I don't know that I 7 Q No, I asked for your best estimate and you 7 used that exact word. I didn't feel as though said approximately a dozen calls. 8 8 justice had been sufficiently served. 9 9 A The best estimate is a guess in this case. BY MR. CRITTON: 10 10 So what did you do, did you call up and Q Had there ever been another instance where 11 say what's going on with regard to the Epstein 11 the State Attorney had either filed charges, had 12 12 matter? gone to a Grand Jury, as they did in the Epstein 13 13 A Sometimes when we hadn't heard from them case, and then you subsequently contacted either the 14 for months or when Detective Recarey would call the 14 FBI or the U.S. Attorney's Office and/or they 15 FBI and the FBI would say I'm not --15 contacted you? 16 16 A I'll try to stay with you better this Q Oh, I'm sorry, he has to change the tape. 17 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're off the record at 17 time. Could you --18 5:50. This is the end of tape 5. 18 Q In this particular instance Mr. Krischer 19 (Off the record) 19 took the Epstein charges, or the allegations, to a 20 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're back on the 20 Grand Jury, the Grand Jury came back with an 21 record at 5:58. This is the beginning of tape 21 indictment and he subsequently was arrested. You 2.2 6. 22 subsequently had contact with the FBI and the FBI 23 BY MR. CRITTON: 23 and the USAO did their own independent O Mr. Reiter, has there ever been an 24 24 investigation, correct? 25 occasion, another occasion, when you've been the 25 A Yes. Page 299 1 1 Chief, when you were Chief of Police, where you went Q Had you ever had another circumstance like 2 to the FBI and/or the FBI called you about pursuing 2 that during the time that you had been the Chief or 3 a prosecution because you felt what the state had 3 the Assistant Chief or a police officer for the Town done was not adequate or not acceptable to you? of Palm Beach; that is, where the State Attorney had 4 4 5 5 A No. filed either charges that you didn't agree with or 6 6 had taken it to a Grand Jury, Grand Jury had come Q This was the first and only occasion, 7 7 back and had returned an indictment and then you correct? 8 8 A Well that was kind of a complicated set of went to the FBI or the FBI contacted you, or was 9 situation, circumstances. But what you described, 9 this the first and only occasion? 10 10 this is the first time that I was not -- didn't 11 11 think that justice was sufficiently served and that 12 the FBI contacted me to initiate an investigation. 12 13 That unusual thing, yes, that's true. 13 14 14 Q And in fact you were in large part relying

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

on the report that had been done in the

Police Department?

A Yes.

let me strike that.

A No.

Office?

investigation that had been done by the Palm Beach

O Had there ever been an occasion where --

Q Did you tell the FBI when you first met

to discuss the case with the State Attorney's

At any time, did you tell the FBI not

A This was the first occasion in which I ever had a case go to a State Grand Jury that wasn't a homicide. There have been other instances that the case bogged down in the state prosecution and it was clear that the most appropriate place to be would be a federal prosecution. And the case was eventually investigated by the FBI or the DEA or the Secret Service or somebody being prosecuted by the US Attorney.

Q In that particular situation though, did the state work -- the State Attorney's Office work with the FBI or the U.S. AO's office to bring the federal charges because it got bogged down in the state investigation and/or process?

A Yes, typically that's how it would go.

So the state was then actively involved

Page 300

Page 301

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Page 302 Page 304 1 1 with the feds so that the feds could then proceed or But had you ever seen a similar 2 2 circumstance where a Chief of Police of a prosecute under their laws, true? 3 3 That's typically how that would go, yes. municipality or a town had been dissatisfied for 4 Q Did you ever meet a person by the name of 4 whatever reasons with the State Attorney, what the 5 A. H.? 5 State Attorney was doing with regard to a particular 6 6 A Is that a male or a female? prosecution, and then gone to the U.S. Attorney's 7 Office? 7 O A female. 8 8 A I don't believe so. A I didn't conduct myself based on what 9 9 Q Did you ever meet any individual who other people did when I was Police Chief. 10 purportedly was a victim at any time? 10 Q That wasn't my question. Had you ever 11 A Not that I'm aware of. 11 seen that happen before? 12 12 A Well I never did it before. Am I aware of And if I understood your earlier testimony, you never spoke with any parent or 13 other circumstances, if that's what you're asking 13 14 guardian of anyone who is a purported victim, true? 14 me, where that happened, no. 15 15 A Not that I'm aware of, at least not about O Did you ever give any -- let me strike 16 16 this case. that. 17 Q Did you ever tell Mr. Krischer that you 17 What contacts did you have with the 18 believed this case was bigger than Rush Limbaugh's 18 press regarding the Epstein case? 19 19 A I had many attempts at them to get me to case? 20 20 talk to them. Sometimes they would break through. A No. 21 0 You deny saying that? 21 I just got a phone call a few weeks ago from a New 22 Yes. I don't know what bigger than Rush 22 York newspaper reporter on my cell phone. I had 23 Limbaugh's case means, but I don't remember saying 23 many different instances of news media attempting to 24 24 that. question me about this case, sometimes using a ruse, 25 25 sometimes showing up at places that I would be Q Did you ever tell Lanna, the Assistant Page 303 Page 305 State Attorney that you didn't care if this wasn't a 1 speaking on a completely different subject, 1 2 2 pretending to cover that subject and then they'd ask real case, that you wanted this matter prosecuted? 3 A That certainly doesn't sound like 3 me questions about this case. There were many, many 4 4 something that I would say. I don't know what it instances of that. 5 5 means when it's not a real case. Nobody ever told The only instance that I can remember 6 me it's not a real case. 6 where I actually communicated at all was in my 7 7 Q Did you ever tell her you wanted this case retirement when the local newspaper asked me about 8 brought irrespective of what the State Attorney's --8 the case and I said something like -- I'm sure you 9 how the State Attorney felt about it because you 9 read it, probably have it in front of you -- that 10 10 wanted to embarrass Epstein? the Epstein case was an instance of a many million 11 dollars defense and what it can accomplish. That's 11 A Never. 12 You deny saying that? 12 it. 13 A Absolutely. That's not the role of a 13 Q In terms of -- do you know Mr. Connelly, 14 John Connelly? 14 Police Chief. 15 15 Q In any department in which you've been A I know him by reputation. I have never 16 associated, have you ever seen or observed the Chief 16 spoken with him and I've never met him. 17 of Police go to the U.S. Attorney's Office and 17 Q With regard to the -- with regard to Mr. Krishcer, let me strike that. 18 bypass the State Attorney's Office like you did in 18 19 19 this instance regarding the Epstein case? With regard to the -- your statement 20 MR. GARCIA: Object to form. 20 that you said you didn't feel that justice was being 21 MR. KUVIN: Join. 21 served. Do you recall saying that more than once? 22 22 A Yes. That I wrote in a letter to the THE WITNESS: I didn't. We presented the 23 parents of the victims. 23 case to the State Attorney first. 24 24 BY MR. CRITTON: Q And the letter that you wrote to the

parents of the victims, do you still have a copy of

25

O I understand that.

25

Page 306 Page 308 recall it was from the FBI. 1 that or would that be within the --1 2 2 Q That one instance? A I don't have a copy of that. 3 One-page letter? 3 Uh-huh. Α 4 4 Q Had you, in conjunction with the A Yes, very brief letter. 5 Q And to whom did you send that? 5 information that you got, you were told to destroy 6 6 A The parents of the victims that were still that as well? 7 juveniles. And if the victims had crossed over as 7 A Yes. 8 8 an adult, I would have sent the letter to them Q Did you ever check with Mr. Randolph or 9 9 anyone else to determine whether you should or directly. 10 10 Q And those would be people of the -- some should not destroy that piece of information? of the names, some of the seventeen names that are 11 11 A No. 12 12 on the incident report? Q Did Mr. Recarey, or Detective Recarey, 13 make a list of the names that were on the letter to 13 A Yes. 14 Q Did you write to any of the individuals on 14 your knowledge? 15 15 the letter, that were included on the letter, that A No. 16 16 Ms. Villafana sent you that you destroyed? Q With regard to your statement you made 17 A Well only in that the names of the 17 earlier, you didn't think justice was being served, 18 18 both Lanna, the Assistant State Attorney, and Mr. victims --19 19 Krischer told you that they were not impressed in O I understand. 20 some ways with the qualities of the victims, of the 20 A Seven, eight, so it wasn't seventeen, it was a smaller number, that those also appeared on 21 alleged victims; that is, their stories, type of 21 22 the letter from the U.S. Attorney. I only wrote one 22 witnesses they were, the type of evidence that would 23 23 be presented in conjunction with an attempted time, one day, the Monday after the Grand Jury and 24 24 the arrest. prosecution against Mr. Epstein, true? 25 25 MR. GARCIA: Object to form. Q With regard to the letter that Page 307 Page 309 1 1 Ms. Villafana sent, did you show that to Detective MR. KUVIN: Join. 2 2 MR. GARCIA: Compound. Recarey or anyone else in the department? 3 3 A I showed it to Detective Recarey because I BY MR. CRITTON: didn't know the victims, or even the victims' names, Q Let me rephrase it. What specifically did 4 4 5 5 and I needed him to confirm that all of the victims Mr. Krischer say, if it was Lanna or whoever else, 6 6 what specifically did they tell you about the that we had for the state case were included on 7 7 victims that had been presented to them in the Palm that. And he looked at it and he said they're all there and then I destroyed it. 8 8 Beach Police Department investigation? 9 Q Did he come and look at that letter in 9 A That at least some of them would not make 10 your presence? 10 good witnesses and they wouldn't be credible. 11 11 Q And you recall from seeing, I think A Yes. 12 And did he bring in his reports so that 12 looking at Exhibit 2 earlier today, some of the 13 he -- did you watch him compare the names? 13 purported victims were eighteen or older, correct? 14 14 MR. GARCIA: Time frame? The time of the A Yes. crime or the time of the --15 15 Q Did he make a copy of the letter? 16 16 BY MR. CRITTON: A No. 17 Q Did you ever give the letter to him or was 17 Q The time of the alleged event or activity 18 the letter always in your presence? 18 19 A It was always in my presence. 19 A Well they wouldn't be a victim if they 20 20 were -- unless there was -- if it was a consensual Q The other occasion where you referenced 21 that you had destroyed a letter as a result of 21 situation it wouldn't be a victim. 22 22 national security, was that from like Homeland Q Take a look at -- if you'll look at 23 Security or something like that, that they had 23 Exhibit 2, number 12, do you recall that, that

individual being; that is, from the report, the

actual investigative report, indicates that this

24

25

24

25

specifically said destroy a piece of information?

A I think you asked me from the FBI and I

Page 310

Page 312

individual was eighteen when she first saw Mr. Epstein. Were you aware of that fact?

A I think that might be a false assumption. My understanding of this computer system is that he calculates the age based on a date of birth. And so if you ran it once every year, the day after the person's birthday I think it changes.

Q Say that once more?

A Okay. I think that the computer system where it displays birthdate/age, that it calculates the actual age when it prints, the computer when it does the printout, and that changes over time. I don't -- I'm not sure that the eighteen years old means that's how old she was when the report was originally made. It may very well be a calculation based on figuring out the actual age of the time of the report, I'm not sure.

Q You don't know as you sit here today?

A I don't know, and I don't even know who the victim -- all I see is, I don't know, there's an eighteen here. I don't know who it's attached to, I don't know how it could evaluate that.

Q If I asked you to assume that her statement reflects that she was eighteen when she saw Mr. Epstein, should she be listed as a victim?

that while that statement may have been more or less true about some victims, to his knowledge in looking at web pages and so on, was less true if true at all about other victims.

BY MR. CRITTON:

Q Did you ever go look; that is, did you ever take the opportunity before you had the discussion with the FBI to determine whether or not quote, unquote, justice was being done? Did you ever go look at the statements, look at the web pages, look at the Facebooks, the My Space, look at what the witnesses had initially said to the police and what their statements reflected to determine whether or not the -- not necessarily the quality, but the voracity of the witnesses would be such whether -- that's an awful question, let me start again.

A There's a lot of commas in that one.

 $\boldsymbol{Q} \quad \boldsymbol{I}$ know that, that's why \boldsymbol{I} 'm starting again.

Did you ever take the time to look at the My Space pages or the Facebook pages of these females to determine in your own mind whether or not what they were claiming was in fact credible or not?

? 25 MR. GARCIA: Object to the form of the

Page 311

A Well, unless there was a -- this -- unless the person was a situation where there were some force involved and some of the girls or women suggested that, then no, I don't know why a person who didn't allege any -- if it would be eighteen years old and didn't allege any force and it was a consensual situation, that person would not normally be classified as a victim even if it was prostitution.

Q And with regard to the witnesses, the quality of the witnesses and some of their background, their web pages or their My Space or their Facebook accounts and the information that was there, who told you that they -- that is, was it Mr. Krischer or was it someone else who said that they were concerned with the quality of the witnesses and what had occurred, or in fact what had not occurred?

MR. GARCIA: Object to the form.
THE WITNESS: Mr. Krischer told me that all those things you just mentioned made some of the witnesses less than credible moving forward with the prosecution. And Detective

forward with the prosecution. And Detective Recarey did some investigation on his own in looking at web pages and so on, and told me Page 313

question.

MR. KUVIN: Join.

THE WITNESS: All of that that you just described was summarized for me by Detective Recarey and other supervisors who were involved in the case who had actually reviewed all that directly. I did look at one victim's printout of one victim's Facebook page.

BY MR. CRITTON:

Q Are you aware of, from looking at the -- if you look at number 11 on Exhibit 2, her birthdate August 6, 1986. I'm sorry, August 9th, 1986.

A I don't have that on mine.

O Your birth dates are redacted?

15 A Yes.

Q What do you have, just the age?

A Yes.

Q So if you're looking at eighteen, if I ask you to assume that the birthdate for number 11 was August 6, 1986, that would have made her eighteen as of August of 2004, correct?

A After this many hours I'm not sure my math is all that good. Sounds roughly right.

Q And in looking at -- in number 12 if I ask you to assume her birthdate was June 1st, 1986, as

Page 314 Page 316 1 1 of June 1st then of 2004 she would have been redaction? 2 2 eighteen. Again if I just ask you -- that's right, A Yes. 3 you don't have the birthdate in front of you. But 3 O Was there ever -- did Mr. -- did Detective 4 4 again, if it's June 1st of '86. Recarey ever attempt or ever rate the victims or 5 A In what year would she be eighteen? 5 qualify them in any way as to alleged victim 1, this 6 6 Q 2004. So assuming she saw -is what occurred and I can put her with number 3, 5 7 A Yes. 7 and 7; that is, was there any attempt to categorize 8 8 Q -- Mr. Epstein sometime after June of the victims as -- the alleged victims, as to what 9 9 2004, she would have been eighteen at the time. had occurred or purportedly had occurred? 10 10 Assuming number 11 had seen Mr. A Yes. Is there any chance that that has 11 Epstein sometime after August -- on August 9th, 2004 11 gone to 78 degrees and it's hot in here now? 12 or thereafter, she would then therefore have been 12 (Off the record) 13 13 eighteen, and therefore those two people would not BY MR. CRITTON: 14 have been, at least from your view absent physical 14 Q You talked about -- let me just go back 15 force or some sort of violence or coercion, they 15 to -- with regard Ms. Weiss, was there ever a 16 16 suggestion to you that there was any impropriety by would not have legitimate or appropriate victims, 17 correct? 17 her at any time when she left the investigation of 18 18 the Epstein matter? A It could have been maybe more accurately 19 been described from what you've said, if that's 19 A There was an appearance of impropriety just by circumstances. Never had any information 20 true, as a witness rather than a victim. 20 21 O Right. And if I go to number 15 she's 21 that there was any unlawful or unethical conduct. 22 reflected as being nineteen. And if I ask you to 22 Q Had you ever worked with Ms. Weiss before? 23 assume that her birthdate was August 24, 1985, 23 A No, not that I can recall, other than 24 24 adding eighteen years to that, as of August 24th. knowing that she had prosecuted other cases in the 25 2003, she would have been eighteen. And assuming 25 department. And that's working at one level. But Page 315 Page 317 1 1 she didn't go to Mr. Epstein's house after that have I had any personal contact, I don't think so. 2 2 period of time, that's another victim that may have Q And if I understand you correctly, no one 3 been more appropriately a witness, correct? 3 ever suggested or has given you any information to 4 MR. GARCIA: Object to the form. 4 suggest that she did anything improper with regard 5 5 to the Epstein investigation, true? THE WITNESS: Well you're building sort of 6 a non-factual basis and asking me to comment, 6 A Not at all, she has an excellent 7 reputation. 7 but if all those --8 8 BY MR. CRITTON: Q And in fact, if I ask you to assume --9 Q Just a hypothetical. 9 well, you know that her husband is associated with 10 10 MR. GARCIA: Object to form. Mr. Goldberger, correct? 11 11 THE WITNESS: Yeah. From all of those A Yes. 12 things that you've said, that could have 12 Q You know that. And do you know 13 certainly been. I never considered that we had 13 approximately how many times a year she gets 14 however many seventeen victims in this. I felt 14 disqualified or may get disqualified from a case 15 15 like we had -- don't remember the exact number, because the investigation that may be being 16 seven, eight or nine, and that's how many 16 performed by either the Town of Palm Beach or West 17 people I wrote letters to. 17 Palm Beach or any other -- or the sheriff's 18 BY MR. CRITTON: 18 department, in fact the alleged suspect or the 19 19 O Was -- let me strike that. suspect goes and hires either Mr. Goldberger or her 20 2.0 husband? The letters that you wrote I think 21 you were asked earlier, should those letters still 21 MR. KUVIN: Form. 22 22 be in existence? THE WITNESS: I can answer that. 23 23 Hopefully every time that that happens. A Yes. BY MR. CRITTON: 2.4 And they would actually reflect who they 24

Q Whether it happens five or ten or fifteen

25

25

were sent to assuming, you know, absent the

Page 318 Page 320 1 1 or twenty times a year, you would have no idea? predecessor. But we did them for a while and any 2 2 A I have no idea. resort community, any resort hotel, I must say work 3 And the appropriate thing for her to do, 3 very hard to keep it out of there. There's going to 4 4 if in fact the suspect goes and hires either her be that kind of activity when you have affluent 5 husband or Mr. Attenbury who's associated with 5 potential patrons. And we -- because the б 6 Mr. Goldberger, the appropriate thing for her to do prosecutions just weren't something that was 7 under those circumstances is to resign from the case 7 welcomed and the arrests that we made all went into 8 8 and have no further involvement in it, true? like a community control sort of treatment pretrial 9 9 A That's my opinion, yes. intervention program, we stopped doing them. And 10 10 And so therefore there -- well, let me most police departments did. 11 11 strike that. Q In your current business, do you, and 12 12 Is there someplace there's a CV of again I don't want to know the names of the clients, 13 13 you that's posted, Chief, a resume, like if I went do you do any work for lawyers? 14 to your website? Do you have a website? 14 A I don't purposely exclude lawyers as 15 A Probably not anymore. I don't have a 15 potential clients. 16 16 website for my business. Q Have you done any work for lawyers since 17 Q You mentioned something earlier today that 17 you started? 18 18 A You know, I just -- I really don't see why you said that the State Attorney basically said that 19 19 I should reveal the proprietary information about my as to prostitution that occurs, perspective 20 prostitution that occurs at someone's private 20 business, the kind of detail of what I do. The 21 residence, whether it's in Palm Beach or West Palm 21 people that are my clients I have some 22 Beach or someplace else, those are crimes that are 22 confidentiality agreements with them and I don't see never prosecuted, not only here in West Palm Beach 23 23 that that has anything to do at all with this. 24 24 or Palm Beach County but across the country. Do you MR. CRITTON: Could you read my question 25 remember saying that earlier? 25 back, please. Page 319 Page 321 1 1 A No. COURT REPORTER: I've run out of paper, 2 2 Q You don't remember saying that? I'm sorry. 3 A I didn't say that. 3 MR. CRITTON: While you're changing paper, 4 Q Are you aware of any -- well, let me 4 let's take a break. 5 5 strike that. THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're off the record at 6 6 6:30. Is that something that the State 7 7 Attorney told you? (Off the record) 8 8 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're back on the MR. HILL: Object to form. 9 9 MR. KUVIN: Join. record at 6:35. 10 THE WITNESS: No, that's not what I said. 10 BY MR. CRITTON: 11 11 Q Mr. Reiter, you had said that you had BY MR. CRITTON: 12 Q Explain it. Say back then what I heard 12 sent -- after the indictment came back against 13 earlier from you, if you would please. 13 Mr. Epstein, you'd sent, I think you said, seven 14 14 letters? A I said a couple of things concerning that 15 the Palm Beach Police Department, we did not 15 A I said seven, eight or nine. 16 normally devote our resources to incidents of 16 Q And at least from looking at the incident 17 17 report, there were seventeen people who were listed prostitution that occur in private homes and don't 18 18 have some other criminal component, drug selling or as potential victims. Assuming three of them may 19 19 any of those other things. I know that that goes have been -- well, let me strike that. 20 2.0 on, I know it goes on all over the country. If you sent seven, eight or nine 21 Separate from that, I said that the 21 letters, how did you determine who you would send 22 22 State Attorney's Office really discouraged us from the letter to and who you would not send the letter 23 23 to of the seventeen people that were listed?

A The victims that we presented the probable

cause affidavit to the State Attorney, and

24

doing prostitution sting operations because he

didn't feel that it was prosecutable. And, you

know, this may even go back to David Bloodworth, his

24

25

Page 322 Page 324

application for capias, those were the victims that I wrote to.

2.0

- Q Did you discuss sending the letter with Detective Recarey before you sent it?
 - A I think I told him I was going to do it.
- Q So if I look at the probable cause affidavit, I should see -- maybe in a redacted form, but I should be able to see seven, eight, nine, however many people that were presented to the State Attorney's, and that probable cause affidavit were the ones who received the letter?

A Yes, generally. There may have been a victim or two, I don't remember, that could have been more victims in the probable cause affidavit. I know some became less interested in a prosecution and cooperative as time went on. And if that was the case, if the numbers don't match and it's fewer letters than there were victims presented in the probable cause affidavit, that would be the explanation as why.

Q But how would you decide if someone was presented in the probable cause -- well, let me strike that.

You have seventeen victims listed in the investigation, the lesser number that was in the

shouldn't have, you're probably going to find a one-to-one comparison.

MR. RANDOLPH: You meant under age eighteen.

THE WITNESS: What did I say?

MR. CRITTON: He said if they're over eighteen he sent them the letter. If they were under eighteen he sent them to the parents.

THE WITNESS: That's the eight hours later situation. So you're the tired one, not me.

BY MR. CRITTON:

б

б

2.0

Q And with regard to the people that were not in the probable cause affidavit, assuming there was a one-to-one correlation, whether to individuals in the probable cause affidavit, were you involved in the decision to include seven, eight, however many people were in the probable cause affidavit and then discounting the other individuals who had been listed initially on the incident report as victims but didn't pass muster, so to speak, to be in the probable cause affidavit?

A Well as you suggested as a result of their age. But did I review, did I participate in that, by review, yes. Every person for which we felt we had -- every victim for which we felt we had a

Page 323

Page 325

probable cause affidavit and then even lesser number to whom you ultimately sent the letter. How did you make the decision as to whether someone was the right victim as distinct from you discarded other people who you may have considered victims, but for some reason you didn't feel worth having received -- and I don't mean worthy in terms of, you know, you deemed -- you weren't going to send them a letter?

MR. GARCIA: Object to form.

10 MR. KUVIN: Join.

THE WITNESS: I sent the letter to the victims if they were over age eighteen at the time of their letter, or their parents if they were not, that were named in the probable cause affidavit sent to the State Attorney's Office.

I think that there's a very good chance that there's a one-to-one match up. If there is not a one-to-one match up and there were fewer letters than there were individuals mentioned in the probable cause affidavit, the explanation would likely be that in between the presentation of the probable cause affidavit and the Monday after the arrest, that they became less cooperative or not cooperative with the investigation. I'm just guessing and I

prosecutable case and a particular crime that matched up to that, we filed a probable cause affidavit and request for a capias.

Q Do you have a recollection of having discussed with Detective Recarey whether any of the females who were purported victims had worked in local massage parlors in West Palm Beach?

When I say massage parlors I'm talking about where they were working in massage parlors or could be a Jack shack, but where they were performing sexual favors for individuals while performing their massage?

MR. GARCIA: Object to form.

MR. KUVIN: Join. Can you define what you meant by Jack shack?

BY MR. CRITTON:

Q Well let me ask the Chief -- I'll rephrase the question.

Have you ever heard the expression Jack shack?

A No.

Q Okay.

A Not prior to your -- I think you're polluting me.

Q I doubt that.

Page 326 Page 328 1 1 MR. KUVIN: Jack is someone's name? eighteen? 2 MR. CRITTON: Let me rephrase the 2 Q Yes. A That is a complete surprise to me if 3 question. 3 4 4 BY MR. CRITTON: that's the case. 5 Q You're familiar with massage parlors that 5 Q Were you -- did Detective Recarey indicate 6 6 operate over in West Palm Beach that have a front as to you that any of the purported victims worked at 7 7 strip clubs or at any escort services prior to and a massage parlor but in fact men will go there and 8 8 sexual favors will be performed for a certain price? during the time they were seeing Mr. Epstein? 9 9 A I understand that they exist, I'm not MR. HILL: Object to the form. 10 10 familiar with them. THE WITNESS: Detective Recarey or 11 11 Q It doesn't come as a shock to you that somebody else in the Palm Beach Police 12 12 they exist in West Palm Beach and you've read about Department did tell me that one of the victims 13 them I assume? 13 who was under age eighteen at the time that she 14 A I have. 14 met Mr. Epstein had subsequently been employed 15 15 Q All right. And were you able to -- do you in a nude bar. 16 16 recall from the investigation Detective Recarey did, BY MR. CRITTON: 17 whether he ever brought to your attention that one 17 Q But subsequent to seeing Mr. Epstein? 18 18 or more of the purported victims were in fact A Yes. 19 19 employees or independent contractors of these Q And if in fact the alleged victims did 20 20 massage parlors over in West Palm Beach; that is, work in a strip club or worked as an escort for an 21 21 escort service performing, in addition to the they gave massages but they also performed sexual 22 favors for men? 22 escorting, performing sexual favors, that also would 23 MR. GARCIA: Objection. 23 be a surprise; that is, prior to the time and during 24 24 MR. KUVIN: Join. the time they met with Mr. Epstein, that would be a 25 25 THE WITNESS: No. surprise to you? Page 327 Page 329 1 BY MR. CRITTON: 1 MR. GARCIA: Object to the form, lack of 2 2 O And if in fact that were true now: that foundation, and compound. 3 is, if in fact information was provided to you that 3 MR. KUVIN: Join. 4 some of the witnesses -- I'm sorry, some of the 4 THE WITNESS: That would be a surprise to 5 5 victims, purported victims that existed on the me, yes, that is a surprise it me. 6 6 probable cause affidavit had in fact worked either BY MR. CRITTON: 7 7 as an independent contractor or as an employee for Q And if you had known that information at 8 8 these massage parlors performing sexual favors for the time; that is, that the one or more of the 9 9 men, that would be a surprise to you, at least at alleged victims were either working at a local 10 10 this point in time? massage parlor, strip club or an escort service 11 11 MR. KUVIN: Objection to form. performing sexual favors for men prior to the time 12 THE WITNESS: At the time that they had 12 they ever met with Mr. Epstein, might that have 13 13 changed your view or would that have changed your met Mr. Epstein? 14 14 BY MR. CRITTON: view with regard let's say the quality of that 15 Q Prior to the time that they had met 15 individual as a witness and whether or not you felt 16 16 quote, unquote, justice was being done, with regard Mr. Epstein? 17 17 A That would be a surprise to me. to the Epstein case? 18 Q Okay. And if in fact either prior to or 18 MR. HILL: Object to the form. 19 during the time they met with Mr. Epstein, they also 19 MR. KUVIN: Join. 20 20 worked at these massage parlors, that would be a THE WITNESS: It may have. 21 surprise to you? 21 BY MR. CRITTON: 22 MR. HILL: Object to the form. 22 Q And, Mr. Reiter, I asked you earlier 23 BY MR. CRITTON: 23 during your -- either during the time you were Chief Q Correct? 2.4 24 Reiter and before you retired and now are civilian

Reiter, has anyone from either the FBI or the U.S.

When you say victim, do you mean under age

25

Page 332 Page 330

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

1 AO's office up until today's date told you that they 2 have filed or brought any charges against 3 Mr. Epstein?

A No.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Before we ran out of paper I asked you a question and you were -- I think you were disinclined to answer, but let me ask it again.

Since the time you started your business, and I don't want to know the names of the lawyers, have you provided services to law firms?

A Again, I don't see what that has to do with this. That's not my business, it's just not my business. I don't do litigation support or services for law firms, it's just not my business.

Q Law firms often want investigations.

A And that's not what you asked me before, you said lawyers. And I had -- that's not important to me whether or not my clients are lawyers or whatever, I've not done legal work. I don't do -my business is not doing legal support work for lawvers.

Q So in terms of an investigation that might be done, if someone wanted to hire you to do an investigation and it was a law firm, are you saying that's not the type of work that you would do?

employees who were hired at the time period I was hired, for employees who had been there over five years up to twenty years. So there is a percentage factor there as well. So, yes, I received additional money other than my base salary.

Q In the last -- I'd say the last two years that you were there, what was your average bonus?

A Well it's not called bonus, but --

Q Well the average additional compensation? Talking about something like 5 or \$10,000 or is it something like 10 or 20?

A It would be generally maybe the regular longevity would be ten percent because I'd been there over twenty years. And the extraordinary longevity would be another -- depending on each year that I was there I got a half a percent per each year that I was there. So the percentage went up each year based on my salary.

Q So the extraordinary longevity, if you were there twenty-eight years, that would mean you get a fourteen percent?

A Yes. Although I didn't -- I think that they ended extraordinary longevity before my last year there. It was the next to the last year was the last year that I received it, that anybody did.

Page 331

- A That is not currently my business.
- During the time that you were Chief of Police for the Town of Palm Beach the last couple of years you were there, in addition to being the Chief, did you have any outside employment?
 - A Did you say while I was Chief?
 - O Correct.
- Α Yes.
- Q As Chief of Police, what was your salary? I assume it was a public record, a public information?
- A They just put it in the newspaper a couple of weeks ago, I'm surprised you missed it. I'm sorry, I don't mean to be flip.
- Q What was your final salary, your final pay for the -- on a yearly basis, annualized basis?
 - A Base pay in the 180's.
- Q Did you get a bonus every year while you worked -- during the time at Palm Beach?

A I got it's called longevity which is based on a number of years of service. And there's also a performance factor, everyone who has been there over twenty years and has met a certain evaluation score receives this thing called extraordinary longevity. And then there's longevity for, at least for the

Q And in terms of when you were Chief you said you also did -- excuse me, you had other outside work or employment?

Page 333

A As Chief I did two things on the outside. Early on in my career I had been teaching at Palm Beach Atlantic College back then, Palm Beach Atlantic University. I taught there for about six years organizational development, leadership business classes. And once I became Chief I did that for a little while to satisfy the obligations I had with them and ended it at the end of that academic year.

Q Did you ever do any other outside employment, special security for someone or for any individuals in Palm Beach or elsewhere?

A I did consulting for a private equity firm.

Q What was the name of that firm?

Well, is that -- I mean, where does -- I have privacy. I have confidentiality agreements with some of these people and I really don't see what that has to do with this. And you know what, I'm going to answer that because it's on my ethics disclosure, it was a necessary --

Q Probably disclosed it for conflict

Page 334 Page 336 1 Q So the surveillance that was going on, 1 reasons, didn't you? 2 2 what else was going on in addition to the A No. Well, I mean, yeah, that's part of 3 3 the ethics state, ethics form that police chiefs and surveillance? 4 4 others have to fill out. I disclosed it on that, A I'd have to look at the report. Like you 5 5 that's the only reason. If I didn't have to do that said, I had many other things I was involved in. 6 6 I wouldn't answer the question. The Convest Group Q From your recollection, did anyone 7 7 indicate from either the trash pulls that were being in West Palm Beach, I did some due diligence --8 8 Q Is that Mr. Cote (phonetic)?

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

24

25

A Pardon me? O Is that Mr. Cote?

A No. it is not.

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

Q Is that it during at least your last year as Chief. Convest?

A Yes. And I --

O That was the only disclosure?

A I did that sort of in anticipation of my retirement.

Q In terms of the -- looking at the incident report, it appears that alleged victim 1 was interviewed in April -- I'm sorry, March of 2005. The next group of victims, alleged victims, does not appear to have been interviewed until approximately October of '05. Why the long time period? Do you have a recollection as to why almost seven months passed before any other alleged victims were

conducted, the surveillance, any other investigation that was going on with Mr. Epstein between March and early October of 2005, any other events that caught your attention that there was anything of significance in the investigation?

A I would have to look at the report. This was what, this is four years ago. I didn't participate in any of these things directly myself. And you're asking me about what other members of the department did and I don't have that committed to memory.

Q From listening to the -- in responding to your questions I asked you about the escort service or a purported victim working for a massage parlor, if I -- let me strike -- let me not assume anything.

If a girl was working in a massage parlor, underage girl was working in a massage parlor providing sexual favors to men for money or

Page 335

Page 337

1 interviewed? 2

A No, I don't have a precise recollection, but you know about victims when you know about victims. And when you have one to be interviewed when one either comes forward or, you know, a victim tells us about another victim, which happened, we're generally diligent in finding them and interviewing them. So if none surfaced during that time period, that would be a reason why. I don't know that to be the case.

O And during that time; that is, during the period from March to October '05, mid March to the early October '05, there was ongoing surveillance of Mr. Epstein, I think you said, at Mr. Epstein's house and at least his airplane?

A Was there a hurricane in that time period too, because that takes all of our attention. I'm sorry, I didn't mean to -- could you go back? 2005 was Hurricane Wilma.

Q Wilma came I thought in October.

A Yes, I think so.

22 Q Yeah, Wilma was October, and Jeanne and 23 Frances were '04. So in the '05 period I don't

24 think we had -- at least the hurricane came after. 25

A Okay.

she was working in a strip club or as an escort underage, but providing sexual favors to men, would you have not considered those persons to have been a quote, unquote, victim, as they related to Mr. Epstein?

MR. HILL: Object to the form. MR. KUVIN: Join.

BY MR. CRITTON:

Q And not legally, you?

A Legally what I was about to say is that I think they would be because they can't really --

Q Depending --

A -- consent. But --

MR. HILL: Object to the form.

MR. KUVIN: Join.

THE WITNESS: I would have referred it to the law enforcement agency to where she was supposed to have worked because that's, you know, a horrible thing. But I think that that -- that reflects on their voracity and their -- you know, whether or not they would be

22 effective witnesses, absolutely.

23 BY MR. CRITTON:

> Q And did you ever discuss with Mr. Krischer or Lanna or any other Assistant State Attorney the

Page 340 Page 338

- 1 information that had been provided to them by
- 2 Mr. Epstein's attorneys with regard to these
- 3 purported victims; that is, you had your
- 4 investigation, Town of Palm Beach investigation
- 5 which you turned over to the State Attorney. The
- 6 State Attorney had the benefit to add investigation
- 7 but they also had the benefit of information that
- 8 was being provided to them by Mr. Epstein's
- 9 attorneys regarding some, not all, of the alleged
- 10 victims, correct, you didn't have that information?
- MR. HILL: Object to form. 11 12
 - MR. KUVIN: Join.
- 13 THE WITNESS: State case victims?
- 14 BY MR. CRITTON:

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

- Q State case victims, correct.
- A Mr. Krischer gave -- he told me in a general sense of the kinds of things that led him to believe that they were not going to be effective victims if he went ahead with the prosecution.
- Q But my question to you is, is you didn't receive the information that the State Attorney had with regard that may have been provided, that was provided by Mr. Epstein's attorneys to
- 24 Mr. Krischer's office with regard to the background 25 of these females, of these state, alleged state

A No.

1

2

3

4

5

б

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Q Have you ever been -- had you wanted to see something in the State Attorney's file, have you ever been refused access to a file so that you could evaluate the information that the State Attorney had?
- A The State Attorney's Office has never been free with allowing law enforcement to go through their files.
 - Q Have you ever -- I'm sorry.
- A I don't think I've ever been in a file. I don't think I've ever asked to see anything in a file. Because typically all that exists in a file as far as I know is what we give them. And it's a rare occasion their own investigators might do some work.
- Q But I'd say maybe the Epstein case was unusual in a number of aspects, but clearly you knew from Mr. Krischer that Mr. Epstein's attorneys had provided substantial information regarding the alleged victims. You knew that because he told that you, true?

Page 341

A Yes.

MR. KUVIN: Form.

Page 339

BY MR. CRITTON:

Q And did you ever then go and ask Mr. Krischer, you know what, you and I have a difference of opinion, you can see that in Exhibit 3, the May 1, 2006 letter that I sent to you. Let me see what you have, Barry, let's see what's in vour files so that I can determine whether our investigation justifies the position that I've taken or whether you, based on the information you have from the Palm Beach Police Department and that you've now received from Mr. Epstein's lawyers justifies the position you've taken. Did you ever do that?

A I didn't because I felt as though he had summarized it with me. He told me that some depicted use of marijuana and talk about -- lots of talk about sex and things like that. I felt like he had described it to me to its extent.

This is the first time I've heard these other things that you're introducing today.

Q Having heard -- just describe what the -some of the information that I would represent to you that Mr. Krischer had, does that now refresh your recollection that Mr. Krischer set up a meeting for you and Detective Recarey to attend with him and

victims, true? Not what he told you, what you saw?

MR. KUVIN: Objection, speculation, form.

THE WITNESS: I don't know what he had, other than he did tell me he saw Facebook pages and that there was a lot of information being compiled by Mr. Epstein's lawyers.

It was certainly more than I had because I didn't have any unless we discovered it on our own. And by the time that had been communicated to us and we went to the Facebook pages, some of them didn't exist anymore. And those that did I received a summary report of what was there.

BY MR. CRITTON:

Q From whom?

A Detective Recarey or others that we may have had -- involved in the case, or the detectives that may have been involved in the case.

- Q Did you ever look at the State Attorney's Office file with regard to the females to determine not only what was in your investigation but what had been provided to the State Attorney's Office regarding the alleged victims, state victims?
 - A I had never been offered access to it.
 - Q Did you ever ask?

Page 342 Page 344 1 1 Mr. Epstein's lawyer to review the exculpatory saying that the Palm Beach Police Department 2 2 evidence? set up the cameras for him? 3 MR. KUVIN: Objection to form. 3 BY MR. CRITTON: 4 4 MR. HILL: Object to the form. Q No, they consulted with him. 5 THE WITNESS: No, I don't remember that. 5 Are you aware that the Palm Beach б 6 I remember a meeting that was set up and Police Department assisted Mr. Epstein in getting 7 cancelled and set up and cancelled to meet with 7 the surveillance cameras set up; that is, they 8 8 Mr. Dershowitz and other lawyers for that didn't buy it or they didn't do the actual set up 9 9 purpose, and as far as I know the meeting never but that they participated in helping him set up 10 10 happened. those cameras and how they were to be positioned, 11 11 BY MR. CRITTON: such that he could deal with a potential theft or an 12 12 Q And it's your -existing theft situation that was going on at his 13 house in the 2003, 2004 time frame? 13 A -- no more involvement. 14 Q And it's your testimony that you never 14 MR. HILL: Objection to form. 15 refused to attend a meeting with Mr. Krischer after 15 MR. KUVIN: Objection to form, 16 the May 1st, 2006 letter that you wrote to him; is 16 speculation. 17 that correct? 17 BY MR. CRITTON: 18 18 Q Were you aware of that? A That's correct, I don't remember that. In 19 19 fact he wouldn't return my phone calls for a very A No. It would not be unusual after a crime 20 20 for the police department to advise the victim of long period of time. 21 Q With regard to you were asked a question 21 what they can do to reduce the risk for future 22 earlier by Mr. Kuvin with regard to a house manager 22 incidents. 23 that Mr. Epstein used to have, Juana Lessy 23 Q If a SWAT team came to Mr. Epstein's house 24 24 (phonetic), remember that? and actually set up a video camera on the premises, 25 25 that would be news to you? A I remember being asked about it, yes. Page 343 Page 345 1 Q Do you know how the surveillance cameras 1 A Yes. The SWAT team set up a video camera? 2 in Mr. Epstein's house came to be set up; that is, 2 Chief Reiter, after Ms. Villafana sent you 3 are you aware of the Town of Palm Beach Police 3 the letter that she asked you to destroy, did you 4 Department's involvement in setting up those 4 have occasion to speak with her again separate and 5 5 cameras? apart regarding Mr. Epstein? 6 6 A She came -- oh, she came to my retirement A I remember some sort of an incident that 7 7 happened at Mr. Epstein's home that somewhere party. I spoke with her briefly regarding Mr. Epstein. I don't believe so. If I did it was 8 8 someone told me along the way we suggested that he 9 should have some sort of video to keep an eye on his 9 very minimal, if at all. 10 10 employees. That's the extent of what I remember O Did you ever speak to her after 11 Mr. Epstein was sentenced to jail? 11 about that. 12 O There was a reference to surveillance 12 A I spoke with her immediately after Mr. 13 cameras and the probable cause affidavit and as well 13 Epstein was sentenced because she was in the 14 as the incident report involving Mr. Epstein, 14 courtroom. 15 15 whereas there's no reference whatsoever in that Q And you were present as well? 16 incident report of the probable cause affidavit that 16 A I was not present so I wanted to find out 17 it was the Town of Palm Beach Police Department that 17 what happened. That's how I found out what 18 worked with Mr. Epstein to get those cameras set up 18 happened. 19 for purposes of a set of circumstances that he was 19 Q And was that the last time you spoke with 20 having back in the '03, '04 time period involving 20 her other than your retirement party about the 21 theft from his home? 21 Epstein case? 22 MR. KUVIN: Form. 22 A No. Because I know that we spoke about 23 MR. HILL: Form. 23 the non-prosecution agreement. 24 MR. KUVIN: Speculation. 24 Q By the time he was sentenced, the

non-prosecution agreement would have been signed?

25

THE WITNESS: I don't understand. Are you

25

Page 346 Page 348

A Yes. But I never saw it and they never told me much of what was there. I don't remember when, but it might have been afterwards, she gave me a little bit more information of what was there. And then I spoke with her about the letter. And I may have spoken with her in between, but I don't remember specifically speaking with her.

- Q Did she ever tell you what had been worked out under the non-prosecution agreement for the purported victims from a civil standpoint; did she ever explain that to you?
- A Other than to tell me that some sort of fund that was originally contemplated was not a part of the non-prosecution agreement. I asked that and I think she said no or something like that.
- Q Had she told you originally when you first -- at some point along the way, that she was recommending or that's what she was trying to put together, some sort of fund for the purported victims?
- A She said there was a facility in the federal law that provided for this and that -- that's always what my concern was, you know, the victims, and she said that that had been contemplated.

1 them the key to the bank?

б

MR. KUVIN: Objection to form.

THE WITNESS: I don't remember her ever saying that.

BY MR. CRITTON:

- Q There were a lot of questions about Mr. Goldsmith and some questions about Ms. Coniglio. Were there individuals who came to you that said, you know, put the pedal to the metal on prosecuting or pursuing your investigation against Mr. Epstein?
- A No. Initially when the story broke I had pretty much a -- a lot of people stepped away from me and I didn't get a lot of people coming to me and saying what you did was right and so on, and I didn't even get a lot of people early on telling me otherwise.

Did I have like other Police Chiefs and people encourage me -- the one big thing was asking the State Attorney to remove himself. And if that is part of what you just asked me in your question, then yeah, I mean some people were encouraging about that. Put the pedal to the metal, that's not --

Q Maybe that's not the right expression to --

Page 347

I guess there was one point in time long prior to when the non-prosecution agreement was signed and the sentencing where we believed that everything was going to come together, there was going to be a sentencing, that there was going to be signing of a non-prosecution agreement, it was going to be resolved months before it actually was, and she summarized what she thought was likely to go through. Not in any depth, but did make mention of some sort of fund at that time.

And then when the non-prosecution was signed and the plea happened, I asked if that was in their -- that provision made it to the final version. I think she say it did not.

- Q Did she ever tell you about what the form of compensation, if any, was going to be to the purported victims?
- A No. She just said that the federal law allowed this and that she knew I had concern for the victims. And she told me that that had been contemplated earlier and that it didn't happen at least in the way it had been contemplated earlier in its final version.
- Q Did she ever tell you that she wanted the victims to be compensated but didn't want to give

Page 349

- A No, it's not. And I never waved some big flag and said that Jeffrey Epstein needs to spend the rest of his life in prison, that was never what I ever suggested to anyone.
- Q Did anyone come to you after you wrote the letter and after the May 1st letter was made public and tell you or encourage you to pursue with the feds or to go beyond Mr. Krischer; that is, beyond the State Attorney, to try to get Mr. Epstein prosecuted in a different form?
- A No. I had already done what needed to be done there. I'd already -- oh, after the letter, you said --

Q Right.

A -- after I wrote the letter. Well see nobody knew about the letter until the Monday after -- till we made the public records law release.

- Q After you wrote the letter, did -- and again, did anyone -- I assume Recarey knew that you were writing that letter?
 - A Yes. And the two assistant chiefs knew.
- Q Did you discuss that you were going to -did you discuss with anyone other than people in the department that you were going to write the May 1,

Page 352 Page 350 1 1 2006 letter, Exhibit 3, to Mr. Krischer? A No. 2 2 Q Going back to your current business just a A Not that I can think of. 3 Q Did you do your own research about the 3 minute so I'll caution you, and then however you 4 4 statute --want to answer or not, but your current business, do 5 5 you get -- are any of your clients potentially A Yes. б 6 Q -- or were you aware of it? governments such as -- when I say governments, like 7 7 the United States Attorney's Office, FBI, anything A I did my own research about the statute. 8 8 Q Did you ever write to the Governor or to of that nature? 9 9 the Attorney General? A I have not contemplated doing any business 10 10 A I did not. like that. It could potentially be possible but 11 11 O Other than writing to Mr. Krischer, did that's just not my business now. 12 12 you send the letter to anyone else questioning Q If you would, give me the name of your 13 13 Mr. Krischer's objectivity with regard to the current business one more time please? 14 Epstein investigation? 14 A Do you want me to answer that, the 15 15 A No. I actually thought he might get the five-minute sign. 16 16 letter and consider how strongly I must have felt to Q Hopefully you can do it. 17 write it and remove himself. 17 A Michael Reiter and Associates, LLC. 18 18 Q If in fact -- if I ask you to assume that Q Florida Limited Liability Company? 19 19 another state attorney was appointed, that State Α That's correct. 20 20 Attorney, based on the same information that Q Since you left the -- is it your position, 21 21 Mr. Krischer had, came to the same conclusion that while even -- while you were Chief of Police, did 22 Mr. Krischer had, that prosecution wasn't warranted 22 you have an entity in effect that was a -- from what 23 23 or that it should be turned over to the Grand Jury, you did your concession business? 24 24 would you have been satisfied with that result? A I reported that as self-employment tax 25 25 MR. HILL: Object to the form. situation. Page 351 Page 353 1 1 THE WITNESS: Well, another hypothetical. Q Other than Michael Reiter and Associates, 2 2 LLC, since the time you've been Chief, are there any It would have caused me to look at it much more 3 3 other businesses that you operate? closely. That was not something I contemplated 4 4 at that point. I simply felt that he could not A No. 5 5 be objective in his decisions because of the Q That's all I have. 6 6 MR. KUVIN: Couple of follow up. Do you way he handled it and felt like he might very 7 7 well realize that if I tried to explain that to want to change the tape first? 8 8 him and that he would have himself removed and THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're off the record at 9 another person would give it a complete fair 9 7:18. This is the end of tape 6. 10 shake, and I think that would have probably 10 (Off the record) 11 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're back on the 11 been enough for me. 12 BY MR. CRITTON: 12 record at 7:22. This is the beginning of tape 13 13 Q Did you ever approach any other State 7. 14 Attorney in any other county or circuit and ask them 14 REDIRECT EXAMINATION 15 to look at any of the information --15 BY MR. KUVIN: 16 16 Q I'll try to be as quick and brief as A No. 17 17 Q possible. -- from the Epstein investigation? A No. I read in the news media that some of 18 18 Counsel asked you questions about 19 19 them made comments, but I never approached any of whether or not you looked at the file at the State 20 20 Attorney's Office. Do you recall that? them about this. 21 Q Other than the FBI and the USAO and the 21 A I do. 22 22 State Attorney, did you ever provide any of the Q Did Barry Krischer or anyone at the State 23 23 investigative material; that is, the Palm Beach Attorney's Office ever offer to show you the file? 24 24 Police Department report, to anyone else outside of A No. 25 the department to comment on? 25 They ever invite you over to look at their

Page 354 Page 356 1 1 file? Q You're aware, are you not, that incidents 2 2 A No. initially reported went back as far as at least 3 Q Do you know whether or not Mr. Epstein is 3 February that same year, correct? 4 4 a confidential informant for the FBI or the U.S. MR. CRITTON: Form. 5 Government and that's why the feds cut him such a 5 BY MR. KUVIN: 6 sweet deal with respect to the federal prosecution? 6 Q Take a look at page 12 just so we're 7 7 MR. CRITTON: Form. clear. Paragraph two, second line where it says she 8 8 THE WITNESS: I asked that question of believes the incident occurred on Monday, February 9 9 Mr. Acosta when I met with him and he responded 6th, 2005, do you see that? 10 10 A Yes. that as far as he was aware that was not 11 11 contemplated. MR. CRITTON: Form. BY MR. KUVIN: 12 12 THE WITNESS: Obviously the incident would 13 13 Q Okay. The report that you were asked have had to occur prior to its report to us. 14 about before which we've marked as Exhibit Number 2, 14 BY MR. KUVIN: just so we're clear, is that a report regularly kept 15 15 Q Okay. So as far as the initial reporting 16 as a business record by the police department back 16 was concerned, you knew of incidents, or the department knew of incidents, going back at least as 17 when you worked there? 17 18 A Yes. 18 far as February 6, 2005? 19 19 MR. CRITTON: Form. Q And the reports that are entered into the 20 system, are they made at or about the time that the 20 THE WITNESS: And further I think. 21 information is obtained by the police officer? In 21 BY MR. KUVIN: 22 other words, they learn the information and as soon 2.2 Q Okay. And in fact there were additional 23 as possible they put it into the report and the 23 documents that were obtained during the 24 24 information? investigation showing connections between these 25 MR. CRITTON: Form. 25 girls going back into 2004. Are you aware of that? Page 357 Page 355 1 THE WITNESS: Typically. But as I said 1 MR. CRITTON: Form. 2 2 earlier, in investigations that are noteworthy THE WITNESS: I just said that I thought 3 like this, or very confidential, they're often 3 it was prior to that, yes. not entered until, you know, the point for 4 4 BY MR. KUVIN: 5 5 filing a probable cause affidavit in some cases Q Okay. Have you ever seen the Western 6 later. 6 Union money transfers that were initiated between 7 7 BY MR. KUVIN: Mr. Epstein and some of the girls as Christmas 8 Q Gotcha. Would you agree with me that 8 bonuses? 9 Exhibit 2, as far as you understand it, is a 9 MR. CRITTON: Form. 10 10 business record for the City of Palm Beach Police BY MR. KUVIN: 11 Department, or Town of Palm Beach Police Department? 11 O Did you ever look at those? A Yes. 12 12 MR. CRITTON: Form. 13 MR. CRITTON: Form. 13 THE WITNESS: No. 14 14 BY MR. KUVIN: BY MR. KUVIN: 15 O The current date on -- well, strike that. 15 Q Let me show you what we'll mark as 16 Mr. Critton was asking a whole bunch 16 Exhibit -- let's see. What are we on now? 7? Oh, 17 of questions about when this investigation began, 17 here we go. I got it. 18 like three hours ago when he started asking you 18 A Yeah, I have 6 here. 19 those questions. Do you recall when he started 19 Q That's okay. Let me show you what I've 20 asking about that? 2.0 marked Exhibit 7. 21 A I do. 21 Do you recall ever seeing the money 22 22 Q And he was pointing to the date at the transfers from Western Union from Mr. Epstein to one 23 beginning of the report of 3/14/2005; do you recall 23 of the alleged victims in the case? 24 24 that? MR. CRITTON: Form. 25 A Yes. 25 THE WITNESS: No.

Page 358 Page 360 1 MR. CRITTON: May I see your Exhibit 7? 1 pled guilty to. MR. KUVIN: Sure. I'm going to have to 2 2 Q Well, Mr. Critton wants details. 3 make a copy for you. 3 He's a licensed sexual offender now. 4 THE WITNESS: I may have, I just don't 4 is he not? 5 recall it. 5 MR. CRITTON: Form. 6 6 THE WITNESS: That's my understanding. BY MR. KUVIN: 7 Q That's fine. And Detective Recarey 7 Not licensed but a registered --8 actually pulled the flight logs from Mr. Epstein's 8 BY MR. KUVIN: 9 airplane, did he not? Are you aware of that? 9 Q Sorry, registered, not licensed. 10 10 A Yeah, I think I remember him doing that. Hopefully they're not licensed in that 11 Q Okay. Let me show you what we'll mark 11 the --Exhibit 8. Were you aware that Detective Recarey 12 12 O I would hope not. actually did a summary of those flight logs and kept 13 13 Α Although the letters are right I guess. 14 them? 14 Q Although it's getting late in the day, 15 15 A No. could be licensed by now. 16 Q Let me show you what we'll mark as Exhibit 16 All right. And then Mr. Critton 17 8 and see if recall seeing that. Identified as a 17 asked you a whole bunch of questions about the 18 flight log summary with respect to the people that 18 quality of Detective Recarey's investigation. Do 19 were flown on Mr. Epstein's plane. Does that 19 you remember those questions generally? refresh your recollection at all? 20 20 A Yes. 21 MR. CRITTON: What's the pending question? 21 All right. And during the three hours of O 22 MR. KUVIN: Yes. Whether he's seen the 22 questioning that Mr. Critton had with you, did he 23 flight log summary that Detective Recarey 23 point out any errors with Detective Recarey's 24 24 performed with respect to the people that flew investigation other than this alleged salad fork 25 on Mr. Epstein's jets and planes. 25 issue? Page 359 Page 361 1 THE WITNESS: I don't think I've seen 1 MR. CRITTON: Form. 2 2 these before. I don't remember if I have. THE WITNESS: He suggested that there were 3 3 facts that were admitted that were exculpatory. BY MR. KUVIN: 4 Q Okay. And Mr. Critton had asked questions 4 BY MR. KUVIN: 5 5 about --Q Did you know any of those facts during 6 MR. CRITTON: If you're going to show him б that three hours of questioning? 7 7 other exhibits would you show them to us first A Not specifically. He gave me 8 8 hypotheticals about situations that I think he at so I know what we're looking at. 9 BY MR. KUVIN: 9 least insinuated existed but were not documented. 10 10 Q And with respect to Mr. Critton's Okay. Let's take a look just real 11 questions, he asked you about whether or not there 11 quickly, page 19 of the Exhibit 2, I just want to go 12 was sufficient information to charge Mr. Epstein and 12 through some of these real fast. I'm just not quite 13 the quality of that information. Do you remember 13 sure which one might have been the salad fork so I 14 14 generally those questions? want to clear that up. 15 15 A Generally, yes. MR. CRITTON: Where are you, Spencer? 16 Mr. Epstein ultimately pled guilty, did he 16 MR. KUVIN: Page 19, Exhibit 2. Q 17 17 not? BY MR. KUVIN: 18 18 Q If we look at the third paragraph or 19 You're aware that he pled guilty to, I 19 fourth paragraph from the bottom, there's a purple believe it was solicitation of a minor for 20 item retrieved from the trash pull of 358 El Brillo. 20 21 prostitution? 21 The item was similar in description to the one 22 MR. CRITTON: Form. 22 described by blank --A I'm sorry, we're on page 19? 23 BY MR. KUVIN: 23 24 Q Or I didn't get it correct? 2.4 Q Yes, sir. 25 A I don't remember the exact charge that he 25 How many paragraph from the bottom?

Page 362 Page 364

- Q I'll go back. Roughly four paragraphs from the bottom paragraph, begins with on this same date.
 - A Okay.

Q And paragraph down, the detective contacts website and identifies this item a jelly anal wand of some sort. Is that what you heard might have been a salad fork?

MR. CRITTON: Form.

THE WITNESS: I'm assuming that that's what they're talking about, yes, so it was in here.

BY MR. KUVIN:

- Q Okay. Page 32, if you would turn to that page, second paragraph from the bottom. See on the second paragraph from the bottom something referred to as a purple finger sized object with a broken end referred to as a sexual toy similar to a cyclone vibrator possibly used for rectal gratification.

 Was that the salad fork or was it the jelly anal one that was the salad fork? I'm just trying to make sure because they're both purple.
 - A I'm sorry, was that a question to me?
- Q Yeah. I wish he'd answer it but no, I've got to ask you.

Q Top of the page right in the middle of the paragraph, one of the victims references on occasion Epstein would use a massager vibrator which she described as white in color with a large head. Do you recall whether or not that was confiscated from the home?

MR. CRITTON: Form.

THE WITNESS: I would only know if the report says that it was. It talks about what you just mentioned but it doesn't, at least in this paragraph, say whether or not it was seized.

BY MR. KUVIN:

2.0

Q Okay. Based on the investigation that was performed by the department, all of the information that was provided to you by Detective Recarey and other sources, was there any question in your mind that Mr. Epstein had had improper and illegal contact with numerous minors?

MR. CRITTON: Form.

THE WITNESS: There was no question in my mind.

MR. KUVIN: That's all I have.

MR. GARCIA: Just a couple of questions. Do you have any?

Page 363

- A I have no personal knowledge about any of this, and I haven't read this for some time. I don't know, I don't know what he's referring to.
- Q Not a problem. I just wanted to make sure that you didn't know of any other things in here that might be potential mistakes that Mr. Critton might have been referring to.

If we turn to page 44, top of page, you know of any mistakes or errors with respect to the peach flavored joy jelly that was confiscated from the home?

- A No.
- Q Page 46, second paragraph from the top. MR. CRITTON: Which page now? MR. KUVIN: Page 46.

16 BY MR. KUVIN:

Q Are you aware of any potential errors with respect to misidentification of the adult sex toys called twin torpedoes and soap in the shape of a penis and vagina that was also found at the home?

MR. CRITTON: Form.

THE WITNESS: No.

23 BY MR. KUVIN:

- Q Page 49, if you can turn to that page.
- 25 A Okay.

Page 365

MR. HILL: I do not, thank you. RECROSS EXAMINATION

BY MR. GARCIA:

Q You said that you asked Mr. Acosta if Mr. Epstein was being given some extra protection because you thought he might be a confidential informant?

A I asked him if Mr. Epstein was a -- that's not the actual term that they use in the federal law enforcement world. Had provided substantial assistance in exchange for special consideration in this case, and he said that was not contemplated as far as he knew. He's the State Attorney. He was the U.S. Attorney for the Southern District of Florida, and I took it that when he said as far as he knew that, you know, he would probably only know about this particular district.

Q But I'm wondering why you asked that question, what triggered that question in your mind?

A Because the federal investigation had stalled. Communication regarding the federal investigation to the Palm Beach Police Department had ended at some point, and I knew that the federal authorities when they take a case like this and they begin to investigate it, they don't normally stop

Page 366 Page 368 1 1 cases for reasons, they normally work -- sometimes A They asked the Palm Beach Police 2 2 it's very hard to get them to do anything, but once Department to assist in the issuance of the 3 3 they get going on it they usually go on it and get subpoenas, to provide information on how to locate 4 4 it done. And it didn't appear to me like that was the victims. And they asked about, if I recall, a 5 the case and that was very unusual. So I was 5 small number of victims, two or three, I can't 6 6 running through sort of a list of reasons why it remember, maybe even four. And I wondered about 7 ended. They told me it didn't end. But it was 7 that because we presented a case to them with more 8 8 clear to me that there was no evidence that it was victims in it and became aware of the length of the 9 9 actively continuing, so I thought that was a time of the Grand Jury. And we had -- I said that 10 10 possibility. there were members of the Palm Beach Police 11 Department that were subpoenaed for that without 11 Q Did the FBI agents express any frustration 12 12 with you about the outcome of the federal identifying them. And so we know roughly how long 13 13 investigation? it took, so I always assumed that they did not 14 A Yes. 14 consider all the victims for all of those reasons. 15 15 Q Did you ever read any published reports And then the actual, the true bill 16 that Mr. Epstein had in fact participated in 16 that came out of the Grand Jury, I don't think I saw 17 providing substantial information in ongoing federal 17 that for a very long time, but I had to sort of 18 investigation outside of the Southern District of 18 follow it and then report it. And so I saw the 19 Florida? 19 charges that the sheriff's office had and it's at 20 20 least my recollection that there was one charge and MR. CRITTON: Let me just object to form. 21 Did he read some internal report or just seen 21 it was explained to me is that in this particular 22 it in the news media someplace? 22 case two misdemeanors make a felony. So that's at 23 MR. GARCIA: Either one. 23 least my understanding of the true bill that came 24 24 MR. CRITTON: Okav. Form. out, the indictment that came out of the Grand Jury. 25 THE WITNESS: Saw it suggested in the news 25 Q That's all I have. Thanks. Page 367 Page 369 1 media. 1 MR. CRITTON: No other questions. 2 BY MR. GARCIA: 2 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: This concludes the 3 3 Q Did the FBI agents have any information deposition. The time is 7:38. that they were able to share with you about (Thereupon, the deposition was concluded.) 4 4 5 5 Mr. Epstein's status in providing substantial 6 information in that investigation? 6 7 A I didn't ask them, I asked the U.S. 7 8 8 Attorney. They did not share it with me. 9 Q Did the U.S. Attorney deny that 9 10 Mr. Epstein was serving in that capacity or did he 10 11 simply say that it was not contemplated as to -- it 11 12 was not an influence on the investigation? 12 13 A What he said was that as far as he was 13 14 14 aware, that was not contemplated, that he -- it was 15 15 more about in the future, was he going to do this 16 and he was going to get something in return, not was 16 17 he currently doing it, providing substantial 17 18 18 assistance. 19 Q I see. In terms of the Grand Jury 19 20 indictment, was that for a single victim? 20 21 A I wasn't a part of the Grand Jury. 21 22 Q I'm talking about what they presented as 22 23 in indictment for public --23 2.4 A Oh. the indictment? 2.4 25 O Yeah. 25

1	CERTIFICATE OF OATH	1 ERRATA SHEET
2	CERTIFICATE OF CATTI	2 B.B. vs. Jeffrey Epstein
3		3 Case No: 502008CA37319 XXXX MB AB 11/23/09
4	THE STATE OF FLORIDA,)	4 DO NOT WRITE ON TRANSCRIPT ENTER CHANGES HERE:
5	COUNTY OF PALM BEACH.)	5 Page: Line: Now reads:
6	I, the undersigned authority, certify that MICHAEL	6
7	REITER personally appeared before me and was duly sworn or	
8	the 23rd day of November, 2009.	8 Page: Line: Now reads:
9	WITNESS my hand and official seal this 30th day of	9
10	November, 2009.	Should read:
11		11 Page: Line: Now reads:
12		12
13		Should read: 13 Reason for Change:
14		14 Page: Line: Now reads:
15		15 Chould read.
16 17		Should read: 16 Reason for Change:
18	WANIESCA C ADCHED	17 Page: Line: Now reads:
19	VANESSA G. ARCHER	Should read:
20		Should read: 19 Reason for Change:
21		20 Under penalties of perjury, I declare that I have
22		21 read my foregoing transcript and, together with any changes made above, the facts stated herein are true.
23		22
24		23
25		24 DATE (Witness Name) 25
1	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE	
2	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE	
3	THE STATE OF FLORIDA,)	
4	COUNTY OF PALM BEACH.)	
5 6	I, VANESSA G. ARCHER, Court Reporter, certify that	
	I was authorized to and did stenographically report the	
7	foregoing deposition; that a review of the transcript was	
Ω	requested; and that the transcript, Pages 1 through 371, is a true and complete record of the testimony given by the	
J	witness.	
9		
10	I further certify that I am not a relative,	
11	employee, attorney, or counsel of any of the parties, nor am I a relative or employee of any of the parties' attorney or	
_	I a relative of employee of any of the parties altorney of	
	counsel connected with the action, nor am I financially	
12		
13	counsel connected with the action, nor am I financially interested in the action.	
	counsel connected with the action, nor am I financially interested in the action. The certification does not apply to any reproduction of the same by any means unless under the	
13 14 15	counsel connected with the action, nor am I financially interested in the action. The certification does not apply to any	
13 14 15 16	counsel connected with the action, nor am I financially interested in the action. The certification does not apply to any reproduction of the same by any means unless under the direct control and/or direction of the reporter.	
13 14 15	counsel connected with the action, nor am I financially interested in the action. The certification does not apply to any reproduction of the same by any means unless under the	
13 14 15 16 17 18 19	counsel connected with the action, nor am I financially interested in the action. The certification does not apply to any reproduction of the same by any means unless under the direct control and/or direction of the reporter. Dated this 30th day of November, 2009.	
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	counsel connected with the action, nor am I financially interested in the action. The certification does not apply to any reproduction of the same by any means unless under the direct control and/or direction of the reporter.	
13 14 15 16 17 18 19	counsel connected with the action, nor am I financially interested in the action. The certification does not apply to any reproduction of the same by any means unless under the direct control and/or direction of the reporter. Dated this 30th day of November, 2009.	
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	counsel connected with the action, nor am I financially interested in the action. The certification does not apply to any reproduction of the same by any means unless under the direct control and/or direction of the reporter. Dated this 30th day of November, 2009.	